arXiv:1607.07862v2 [math.PR] 27 Jul 2016

Representations and isomorphism identities for infinitely divisible processes

Jan Rosiński *†

July 26, 2016

Abstract

We propose isomorphism type identities for nonlinear functionals of general infinitely divisible processes. Such identities can be viewed as an analogy of the Cameron-Martin formula for Poissonian infinitely divisible processes but with random translations. The applicability of these tools relies on a precise understanding of Lévy measures of infinitely divisible processes and their representations, which are developed here in full generality. We illustrate this approach on examples of squared Bessel processes, Feller diffusions, permanental processes, as well as Lévy processes.

Keywords: Infinitely divisible process, Lévy measure on path spaces, isomorphism identities, stochastic integral representations, series representations, Dynkin Isomorphism Theorem.
AMS subject classification (2010): 60E07, 60G15, 60G17, 60G51, 60G60, 60G99.

1 Introduction

Let $G = (G_t)_{t\in T}$ be a centered Gaussian process over an arbitrary set T. The Cameron-Martin Formula says that for every random variable ξ in the L^2-closure of the subspace spanned by G and for any measurable functional $F : \mathbb{R}^T \mapsto \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[F\left((G_t + \phi(t))_{t\in T}\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[F\left((G_t)_{t\in T}\right) e^{\xi - \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\xi^2}\right] \tag{1.1}$$

where $\phi(t) = \mathbb{E}(\xi G_t)$. This formula has many applications, including SDEs and SPDEs driven by Gaussian random fields. It can also be viewed as an isomorphism identity expressing a translated Gaussian process in terms of the untranslated process, the latter is under the changed probability measure.

*Research partially supported by the Simons Foundation grant 281440
†Department of Mathematics, University of Tennessee, Knoxville TN 37996, USA;
E-mail: rosinski@math.utk.edu

It is well-known that (1.1) does not extend to the Poissonian case. Indeed, it is easy to show that if $Y = (Y_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ is a Poisson process, then there is no function $\psi : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$, $\psi \not\equiv 0$, such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[F\left((Y_t + \psi(t))_{t\in[0,1]}\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[F\left((Y_t)_{t\in[0,1]}\right)\eta\right]$$

for all F as above and some random variable $\eta \geq 0$ with $\mathbb{E}\eta = 1$.

In this paper we propose isomorphism identities based on random translations as follows. Let $Y = (Y_t)_{t\in T}$ be a Poissonian infinitely divisible process over a general set T, i.e. a processes whose finite dimensional distributions are infinitely divisible without Gaussian part, having a σ-finite Lévy measure ν on $\mathbb{R}^T$ (see Section 2.2). Let $Z = (Z_t)_{t\in T}$ be an arbitrary process independent of Y, whose distribution is absolutely continuous with respect to ν. Then, for any measurable functional $F : \mathbb{R}^T \mapsto \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[F\left((Y_t + Z_t)_{t\in T}\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[F\left((Y_t)_{t\in T}\right)\eta\right], \tag{1.2}$$

for some random variable $\eta \geq 0$, $\mathbb{E}\eta = 1$, such that $(Y_t, \eta : t \in T)$ is an infinitely divisible process (see Section 4 for further extensions and details).

Relation (1.2) was inspired by Dynkin's Isomorphism Theorem [5], where $Z = (Z_x)_{x\in E}$ is the total accumulated local time at x of a strongly symmetric transient Markov process with the state space E and $Y = (Y_x)_{x\in E}$ is the squared associated centered Gaussian process, see Marcus and Rosen [14, Chapter 8]. The process Y is infinitely divisible by results of Eisenbaum [6] and Eisenbaum and Kaspi [7]. Since processes Y and Z (over $T = E$) satisfy the assumptions of (1.2), Dynkin's Isomorphism Theorem holds as a special case of (1.2), see Example 4.6 for details. Actually, we present this example in a more general setting of permanental processes, following work of Eisenbaum and Kaspi [8].

There are two basic directions of applying (1.2). The first one is to start with a process $Z = (Z_t)_{t\in T}$ of interest, associate with it (possibly) easier to handle infinitely divisible process $Y = (Y_t)_{t\in T}$ as above, and transfer certain properties of Y to Z via isomorphism (1.2). This will work with such properties as path continuity, boundedness, etc. Using Dynkin's Isomorphism Theorem, Marcus and Rosen derived many results for local times of Markov processes, including Lévy processes, see, e.g., [13], [14]. Another direction of applications of (1.2) is much harder, to derive information about Y by utilizing Z. This is to interchange the sides in (1.2) and treat Y as random translations of Z, under a changed probability measure. Proposition 4.10 is proven in this spirit. In Section 4 and 5 we present direct and converse versions of (1.2).

Series representations of infinitely divisible processes have proven very useful in the study of path regularities of infinitely divisible processes, see, e.g., Talagrand [26, ch. 11]. Thus, we link series representations with isomorphism identities in Section 5. This gives us more insight into random translation term Z of (1.2) and its explicit relation to Y, see Theorem 5.7.

Successful implementation of isomorphism identities requires precise understanding of Lévy measures of processes, which are defined on path spaces equipped with the cylindrical

σ-algebras. This is done in Section 2, which contains a systematic development of Lévy measures and spectral representations based on lecture notes [23]. It is convenient to view Lévy measures as "laws" of processes defined on possibly infinite measure spaces. We call such "processes" representations of Lévy measures. Properties of Lévy measures are defined by properties of their representations. Transfer of regularity property (Theorem 3.4) allows to localize Lévy measures on Borel function spaces which carry sample paths of the corresponding infinitely divisible processes, which permits a study of finer properties of processes and random fields in relation to their Lévy measures. This makes our general approach applicable in various specific situations.

Throughout this paper we illustrate general concepts by selected examples of infinitely divisible processes. For this purpose we have chosen Lévy processes, squared Bessel processes, Feller diffusions, general compound Poisson processes, and permanental processes. Some of these processes are presented in their simplest forms in order to not obscure ideas by technical complications. This list of examples can be extended by many processes of interest, including cylindrical Lévy processes in Banach spaces of [1], which can be considered as infinitely divisible processes defined on $T = \mathbb{R} \times E'$, where E is a Banach space, selfdecomposable fields [2], and multidimensional infinitely divisible processes, which become one-dimensional after enlarging the index set.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents a detailed study of Lévy measures on path spaces. Theorem 2.7 shows existence and uniqueness of such measure for every Poissonian infinitely divisible processes. Theorem 2.12 characterizes the σ-finiteness of Lévy measures and Theorem 2.14 characterizes Poissonian infinitely divisible process having not σ-finite Lévy measures. The concept of representations of Lévy measures is introduced and discusses on examples in the final part of Section 2. Section 3 gives a Lévy-Itô representation for general infinitely divisible processes (see Theorem 3.2) and Theorem 3.4 states the above mentioned transfer of regularity property. In Section 4 isomorphism identities are given in Theorems 4.1, 4.3, and 4.4. Dynkin Isomorphism Theorem for permanental processes is discussed in Example 4.6 and applications of isomorphism identities for Lévy processes are discussed in the final part of this section. Section 5 relates representations of Lévy measures of Section 2 to series representations of Poissonian infinitely divisible processes. As examples we give series representations of Feller diffusions and squared Bessel processes. Then we connect such representations with isomorphism identities in Theorem 5.7. Section 6 contains the proofs of results from Sections 2–5.

Recall that a stochastic process $X = (X_t)_{t\in T}$, with an arbitrary index set T, is said to be infinitely divisible if its all finite dimensional marginal distributions are infinitely divisible. Throughout this paper, an identity as (1.2) reads: if one side exists then the other does and they are equal.

2 Lévy measures on path spaces

2.1 Definitions and preliminaries

Lévy measures of probability laws on $\mathbb{R}^d$ are usually defined either on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ or on $\mathbb{R}^d$, in the second case under the assumption that they do not charge the origin. Identifying $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $\mathbb{R}^T$, where $T = \{1, \ldots, d\}$, we have two natural ways to define Lévy measures for infinitely divisible processes over any set T. The first way is to define a Lévy measure on the σ-ring generated by cylindrical subsets of $\mathbb{R}^T \setminus \{0\}$, as proposed by Lee [11] and Maruyama [15]. This approach, however, leads to substantial conceptual and technical difficulties when T is uncountable. Therefore, we choose the second way, to consider Lévy measures on the canonical path space $(\mathbb{R}^T, \mathscr{B}^T)$, on which the laws of stochastic processes over T are defined. In particular, this approach allows us to talk about Lévy measures as "laws of stochastic processes".

Recall that $\mathbb{R}^T = \{x;\ x : T \mapsto \mathbb{R}\}$; $\mathscr{B}^T$ will denote the cylindrical (product) σ-algebra of $\mathbb{R}^T$. The law of a stochastic process $X = (X_t)_{t\in T}$ is a probability measure μ on $(\mathbb{R}^T, \mathscr{B}^T)$ given by

$$\mu(A) = \mathbb{P}\{\omega : (X_t(\omega))_{t\in T} \in A\}, \quad A \in \mathscr{B}^T,$$

and we write $\mathscr{L}(X) = \mu$. For any $S \subset T$, $X_S := (X_t)_{t\in S}$ is the restriction of the process X to the index set S. Similarly, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^T$, x_S denotes the restriction of function x to $S \subset T$. Finally, 0_S will stand for the origin of $\mathbb{R}^S$, which will be viewed as a point or the one-point set, depending on the context.

Definition 2.1. *A measure ν on $(\mathbb{R}^T, \mathscr{B}^T)$ is said to be a Lévy measure if the following two conditions hold*

(L1) *for every $t \in T$* $\int_{\mathbb{R}^T} |x(t)|^2 \wedge 1 \, \nu(dx) < \infty$,

(L2) *for every $A \in \mathscr{B}^T$* $\nu(A) = \nu_*(A \setminus 0_T)$, *where ν_* is the inner measure.*

The first condition is a technical one, needed for the integral in the Lévy-Khintchine formula (2.8) to be well-defined. The second condition gives the meaning to "ν does not charge the origin". If T is countable, then $0_T \in \mathscr{B}^T$, so that (L2) is equivalent to $\nu(0_T) = 0$, which is the usual condition for the uniqueness of ν in the Lévy-Khintchine representation. If T is uncountable, then $0_T \notin \mathscr{B}^T$, so that $\nu(0_T)$ is undefined. However, (L2) still makes sense and we will show that any infinitely divisible process has a unique measure satisfying this definition.

Remark 2.2. The following condition implies (L2) and is often easy to check: there exists a countable set $T_0 \subset T$ such that

$$\nu\{x \in \mathbb{R}^T : x_{T_0} = 0\} = 0\,. \tag{2.1}$$

Indeed, (L2) is satisfied because for any $A \in \mathscr{B}^T$

$$\nu(A) \geq \nu_*(A \setminus 0_T) \geq \nu(A \setminus \{x : x_{T_0} = 0\}) = \nu(A)\,.$$

Throughout this paper, $\hat{T} = \{I \subset T : 0 < \mathrm{Card}(I) < \infty\}$ will denote the family of all finite nonempty subsets of the index set T, so that for any $I \in \hat{T}$, $\mathbb{R}^I$ can be identified with the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{Card}(I)}$. We also set

$$\hat{T}_c := \{J \subset T : \ J \text{ is nonempty countable}\}.$$

Let $\pi_S : \mathbb{R}^T \mapsto \mathbb{R}^S$ is the projection from $\mathbb{R}^T$ onto $\mathbb{R}^S$, $\pi_S(x) := x_S$. Finally,

$$\mathscr{B}_0^S := \{B \in \mathscr{B}^S : 0_S \notin B\}.$$

The next lemma sheds some more light on condition (L2).

Lemma 2.3. *Let ν be a measure on $(\mathbb{R}^T, \mathscr{B}^T)$. Then for every $A \in \mathscr{B}^T$*

$$\nu_*(A \setminus 0_T) = \sup_{J \in \hat{T}} \nu(A \setminus \pi_J^{-1}(0_J))\,. \tag{2.2}$$

Consequently,

$$\nu^0(A) := \nu_*(A \setminus 0_T), \quad A \in \mathscr{B}^T$$

is a measure satisfying (L2) and

$$\nu^0 = \nu \quad \text{on} \ \ \mathscr{B}_0^T\,. \tag{2.3}$$

Therefore, ν^0 is a Lévy measure provided ν satisfies (L1).

Condition (L2) is natural but it seems to be difficult to verify. Therefore, we give some equivalent conditions that are applicable in concrete situations.

Lemma 2.4. *Let ν be a measure on $(\mathbb{R}^T, \mathscr{B}^T)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent to (L2).*

(a) *for every $T_0 \in \hat{T}_c$ there exists $T_1 \in \hat{T}_c$ such that $T_0 \subset T_1$ and*

$$\nu\{x \in \mathbb{R}^T : x_{T_0} = 0\} = \nu\{x \in \mathbb{R}^T : x_{T_0} = 0, \ x_{T_1} \neq 0\}.$$

(b) *for every $T_0 \in \hat{T}_c$ with $\nu\{x \in \mathbb{R}^T : x_{T_0} = 0\} > 0$ there is $t \notin T_0$ such that*

$$\nu\{x \in \mathbb{R}^T : x_{T_0} = 0, \ x(t) \neq 0\} > 0; \tag{2.4}$$

(c) *either* (2.1) *is satisfied for some $T_0 \in \hat{T}_c$ or for every $T_0 \in \hat{T}_c$ there is $t \notin T_0$ such that* (2.4) *holds.*

Condition (a) was the original condition for the Lévy measure given in Rosiński [23]. Condition (b) was communicated to us as equivalent to (a) by Gennady Samorodnitsky. Notice a subtle difference between (b) and the second alternative condition in (c).

2.2 Lévy-Khintchine and canonical spectral representations

Let $X = (X_t)_{t\in T}$ be an infinitely divisible process, so that for every $I \in \hat{T}$ the random vector X_I is infinitely divisible in $\mathbb{R}^I$ (which is considered as $R^{\mathrm{Card}(I)}$ with the inner product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ and the norm $|\cdot|$). By the Lévy-Khintchine representation [24, Theorem 8.1] there exists a unique triplet (Σ_I, ν_I, b_I) such that for every $a \in \mathbb{R}^I$

$$\mathbb{E}\exp i\langle a, X_I\rangle = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\langle a, \Sigma_I a\rangle + i\langle a, b_I\rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^I}(e^{\langle a,x\rangle} - 1 - i\langle a, [\![x]\!]\rangle)\ \nu_I(dx)\right\}, \qquad (2.5)$$

where Σ_I is a non-negative definite $I \times I$-matrix, $b_I \in \mathbb{R}^I$, and ν_I a Lévy measure, i.e., ν_I is a Borel measure on $\mathbb{R}^I$ satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^I} |x_I|^2 \wedge 1\, \nu_I(dx) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_I(0_I) = 0\,.$$

Here $[\![\cdot]\!]$ is a fixed truncation function defined as follows. Let $\chi : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded measurable function such that $\chi(v) = 1 + o(|v|)$ as $v \to 0$ and $\chi(v) = O(|v|^{-1})$ as $|v| \to \infty$. For example, functions $\mathbf{1}_{\{|v|\le 1\}}$, $(1 \vee |v|)^{-1}$, and $(1 + |v|^2)^{-1}$ satisfy these conditions. The truncation of $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined by

$$[\![v]\!] = (v_1\chi(|v_1|), \ldots, v_n\chi(|v_n|))\ .$$

Similarly, if $y \in \mathbb{R}^S$ then $[\![y]\!] \in \mathbb{R}^S$ is given by $[\![y]\!](s) = y(s)\chi(y(s))$, $s \in S$.

From the uniqueness of the triplet (Σ_I, ν_I, b_I) in (2.5), the following consistency conditions hold: for every $I, J \in \hat{T}$ with $I \subset J$

(c1) Σ_J restricted to $I \times I$ equals Σ_I,

(c2) b_J restricted to I equals b_I,

(c3) $\nu_J \circ \pi_{IJ}^{-1} = \nu_I$ on $\mathscr{B}_0^I$,

where $\pi_{IJ} : \mathbb{R}^J \mapsto \mathbb{R}^I$ denotes the natural projection from $\mathbb{R}^J$ onto $\mathbb{R}^I$. By the Kolmogorov Extension Theorem, there exist mutually independent centered Gaussian process $G = (G_t)_{t\in T}$ and a Poissonian infinitely divisible process $Y = (Y_t)_{t\in T}$ such that

$$X \stackrel{d}{=} G + Y\,,$$

and for every $I \in \hat{T}$, $G_I \sim N(0, \Sigma_I)$ while

$$\mathbb{E}\exp i\langle a, Y_I\rangle = \exp\left\{i\langle a, b_I\rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^I}(e^{\langle a,y\rangle} - 1 - i\langle a, [\![y]\!]\rangle)\ \nu_I(dy)\right\}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}^I. \qquad (2.6)$$

The covariance function Σ of G restricted to $I \in \hat{T}$, equals Σ_I; similarly there is a path $b : T \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ whose restrictions to I coincide with b_I.

Definition 2.5. *We say that a family $\{\nu_I : I \in \hat{T}\}$ of finite dimensional Lévy measures is consistent when it satisfies condition* (c3).

It should be noted that a consisted family of finite dimensional Lévy measures is not necessarily a projective system.

Example 2.6. *Let $T = \mathbb{N}$ and let $X = \{X_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an i.i.d. sequence of Poisson random variables with mean 1. The Lévy measure of $X_{\{1,\dots,n\}} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is given by*

$$\nu_{\{1,\dots,n\}} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta_{0_{\{1,\dots,k-1\}}} \otimes \delta_1 \otimes \delta_{0_{\{k+1,\dots,n\}}} .$$

Therefore, if $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $J = \{1, \dots, r\}$ with $I \subset J$,

$$\nu_J \circ \pi_{IJ}^{-1} = \nu_I + (r - n)\delta_{0_I} ,$$

which shows that $\{\nu_I : I \in \hat{T}\}$ is not a projective system of measures.

The fact emphasized in the above example make glueing together ν_I's more complicated than it is for projective systems of measures. Nevertheless, we have the following.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $Y = (Y_t)_{t\in T}$ be a Poissonian infinitely divisible process as in* (2.6). *Then there exist unique Lévy measure ν on $(\mathbb{R}^T, \mathscr{B}^T)$ and a shift function $b \in \mathbb{R}^T$ such that for every $I \in \hat{T}$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}^I$*

$$\mathbb{E} \exp i \sum_{t\in I} a_t Y_t = \exp\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^T} (e^{\langle a, x_I\rangle} - 1 - i\langle a, [\![x_I]\!]\rangle)\ \nu(dx) + i\langle a, b_I\rangle \right\} .$$

Therefore, for any consistent system of Lévy measures $\{\nu_I : I \in \hat{T}\}$ there exists a unique Lévy measure ν on $(\mathbb{R}^T, \mathscr{B}^T)$ such that

$$\nu \circ \pi_I^{-1} = \nu_I \quad \text{on } \mathscr{B}_0^I,\ I \in \hat{T}. \tag{2.7}$$

Furthermore, ν is the smallest among all measures ρ such that $\rho \circ \pi_I^{-1} = \nu_I$ on $\mathscr{B}_0^I$, $I \in \hat{T}$, and for every such measure ρ we have $\rho^0 = \nu$ (cf. Lemma 2.3).

Corollary 2.8 (Lévy-Khintchine representation)**.** *Let $X = (X_t)_{t\in T}$ be an infinitely divisible process. Then there exist a unique triplet (Σ, ν, b) consisting of a non-negative definite function Σ on $T \times T$, a Lévy measure ν on $(\mathbb{R}^T, \mathscr{B}^T)$ and a function $b \in \mathbb{R}^T$ such that for every $I \in \hat{T}$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}^I$*

$$\mathbb{E} \exp i \sum_{t\in I} a_t X_t = \exp\left\{ -\frac{1}{2}\langle a, \Sigma_I a\rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^T} (e^{\langle a, x_I\rangle} - 1 - i\langle a, [\![x_I]\!]\rangle)\ \nu(dx) + i\langle a, b_I\rangle \right\} \tag{2.8}$$

where Σ_I is the restriction of Σ to $I \times I$. (Σ, ν, b) is called the generating triplet of X. Conversely, given a generating triplet (Σ, ν, b) as above, there exists an infinitely divisible process $X = (X_t)_{t\in T}$ satisfying (2.8).

Let $Y = (Y_t)_{t\in T}$ be a Poissonian infinitely divisible process with Lévy measure ν. Let N be a Poisson random measure on $(\mathbb{R}^T, \mathscr{B}^T)$ having intensity measure ν. The existence of such N follows from Kolmogorov's Extension Theorem. We will work with a stochastic integral of the form

$$I_N(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^T} f(x)[N(dx) - \chi(f(x))\nu(dx)]. \tag{2.9}$$

Since ν can be not σ-finite, we take an extra care in handling this integral. At the outset notice that the present development works for a Poisson random measure on any measure space $(S, \mathscr{S}, n)$ and we take here $(\mathbb{R}^T, \mathscr{B}^T, \nu)$ for concreteness.

For a simplicity, let $\chi(v) = \mathbf{1}_{\{|v|\le 1\}}$. Let $f = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \mathbf{1}_{A_j}$, where $a_j \in \mathbb{R}$ and A_j are disjoint with $\nu(A_j) < \infty$. We have

$$I_N(f) = \sum_{j\in J_0} a_j[N(A_j) - \nu(A_j)] + \sum_{j\in J_1} a_j N(A_j) = S_0 + S_1$$

where $J_0 = \{j \le n : |a_j| \le 1\}$ and $J_1 = \{j \le n : |a_j| > 1\}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}(|I_N(f)| \wedge 1) &\le \mathbb{E}(|S_0| \wedge 1) + \mathbb{E}(|S_1| \wedge 1) \le (\mathbb{E}|S_0|^2)^{1/2} + \mathbb{E}(|S_1| \wedge 1) \\
&\le (\sum_{j\in J_0} a_j^2 \nu(A_j))^{1/2} + \sum_{j\in J_1} \mathbb{E}(|a_j N(A_j)| \wedge 1) \\
&\le (\int |f|^2 \wedge 1 \, d\nu)^{1/2} + \sum_{j\in J_1} \mathbb{E}[(|a_j|^2 \wedge 1) N(A_j)] \\
&\le (\int |f|^2 \wedge 1 \, d\nu)^{1/2} + \int |f|^2 \wedge 1 \, d\nu \, .
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbb{E}(|I_N(f)| \wedge 1) \le 1$, we infer that

$$\mathbb{E}(|I_N(f)| \wedge 1) \le 2 \left(\int |f|^2 \wedge 1 \, d\nu \right)^{1/2}. \tag{2.10}$$

A change of the cut-off function χ will result in a change of constant 2 to another universal constant. Therefore, the integral in (2.9) is well-defined for any measurable $f : \mathbb{R}^T \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ such that $\int |f|^2 \wedge 1 \, d\nu < \infty$ by the standard approximation procedure. Moreover, the integral $I(f)$ satisfies (2.10) and is a Poissonian infinitely divisible random variable with

$$\mathbb{E} \exp\left(i\theta I_N(f)\right) = \exp\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^T} \left(e^{i\theta f(x)} - 1 - i\theta f(x) \chi(f(x)) \right) \nu(dx) \right). \tag{2.11}$$

Proposition 2.9. *Let $Y = (Y_t)_{t\in T}$ be a Poissonian infinitely divisible process with Lévy measure ν and a shift function b. Let N be a Poisson random measure on $(\mathbb{R}^T, \mathscr{B}^T)$ having intensity measure ν. Then the process $\widetilde{Y} = (\widetilde{Y}_t)_{t\in T}$ given by*

$$\widetilde{Y}_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}^T} x(t)[N(dx) - \chi(x(t))\nu(dx)] + b(t), \quad t \in T \tag{2.12}$$

has the same distribution as Y. $\widetilde{Y}$ will be called a canonical spectral representation of Y.

Remark 2.10. *We call* (2.12) *the canonical spectral representation because it shows how the process Y is assembled from frequencies $x = x(t)$, in the support of the Lévy measure, and their random amplitudes $N(dx)$.*

2.3 Sigma-finiteness of Lévy measures

The σ-finiteness of measures is an important property but not every Lévy measure is σ-finite. This is shown in the following simple example.

Example 2.11. Let $X = (X_t)_{t\in T}$ be un uncountable family of independent Poisson random variables with parameter 1. Then, for every $I \in \hat{T}$, $a \in \mathbb{R}^I$,

$$\mathbb{E}\exp i\sum_{t\in I} a_t X_t = \exp\left[\sum_{t\in I}(e^{ia_t}-1)\right] = \exp\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^T}(e^{i\langle a,x_I\rangle}-1)\,\nu(dx)\right],$$

where ν is the counting measure of a set E given by

$$E = \{e_s \in \mathbb{R}^T : s \in T,\ e_s(t) = 1 \text{ if } t = s \text{ and } e_s(t) = 0 \text{ otherwise}\}.$$

We have $\int_{\mathbb{R}^T} |x(t)|^2 \wedge 1\,\nu(dx) = |e_t(t)|^2 = 1$ for every $t \in T$. For $T_0 \in \hat{T}_c$ choose $t \notin T_0$, and consider $A = \{x : x_{T_0} = 0, x(t) \neq 0\}$. Since $A \cap E = \{e_t\}$, we have $\nu(A) = 1 > 0$, so that ν is a Lévy measure of X by Lemma 2.4(c). However, ν as the counting measure of an uncountable set is not σ-finite.

The next theorem gives criteria for the σ-finiteness of Lévy measures.

Theorem 2.12. *Let ν be a Lévy measure on $(\mathbb{R}^T, \mathscr{B}^T)$. The following are equivalent:*

(i) ν is σ-finite;

(ii) $\nu^*(0_T) = 0$, where ν^* is the outer measure;

(iii) $\nu\{x \in \mathbb{R}^T : x_{T_0} = 0\} = 0$ for some $T_0 \in \hat{T}_c$ (i.e., (2.1) *holds).*

Corollary 2.13. *A Lévy measure is not σ-finite if and only if for every $T_0 \in \hat{T}_c$ there exists $t \notin T_0$ such that $\nu\{x : x_{T_0} = 0,\ x(t) \neq 0\} > 0$.*

Proof: Condition (c) of Lemma 2.4 divides Lévy measure into two categories: those which satisfy (2.1), which are σ-finite by Theorem 2.12, and the others which satisfy the condition of this corollary. □

Naturally, one would also like to have a probabilistic characterization of the σ-finiteness of Lévy measures, in terms of the corresponding Poissonian process. This is done in the next theorem.

Theorem 2.14. *Let $Y = (Y_t)_{t\in T}$ be a Poissonian infinitely divisible process with Lévy measure ν. Then ν is not σ-finite if and only if T is uncountable and there is a version $\widetilde{Y} = (\widetilde{Y}_t)_{t\in T}$ of the process Y such that for every $T_0 \in \hat{T}_c$ there exist $t_1 \notin T_0$ and independent random variables ξ and η such that*

(a) $\widetilde{Y}_{t_1} = \xi + \eta$;

(b) $(\widetilde{Y}_t, \xi, \eta : t \in T_0)$ *are jointly Poissonian infinitely divisible;*

(c) η *is non-degenerate and independent of* $(\widetilde{Y}_t, \xi : t \in T_0)$.

Corollary 2.15. *Intuitively, a Poissonian infinitely divisible process has a σ-finite Lévy measure if and only if the process has no independent components outside of some countable index set.*

Definition 2.16. *A stochastic process $Y = (Y_t)_{t\in T}$ is separable in probability if there exists $T_0 \in \hat{T}_c$ such that for any $t \in T$ there is a sequence $\{s_n\} \subset T_0$ such that $Y_{s_n} \xrightarrow{P} Y_t$.*

Corollary 2.17. *A separable in probability Poissonian infinitely divisible process Y has a σ-finite Lévy measure.*

Proof. Let T_0 be a separant for Y, as in Definition 2.16. Suppose to the contrary that ν is not σ-finite, so by Theorem 2.14 there is $t_1 \notin T_0$ such that (a)–(c) hold. By the separability, $\widetilde{Y}_{s_n} \xrightarrow{P} \widetilde{Y}_{t_1} = \xi + \eta$ for some $s_n \in T_0$. Therefore, $\xi + \eta$ is $\sigma(\widetilde{Y}_{T_0})$-measurable and still η is independent of $(\widetilde{Y}_t, \xi : t \in T_0)$. Hence, for any $u \in \mathbb{R}$

$$e^{iu(\xi+\eta)} = \mathbb{E}[e^{iu(\xi+\eta)} \,|\, \widetilde{Y}_{T_0}, \xi] = e^{iu\xi}\mathbb{E}[e^{iu\eta} \,|\, \widetilde{Y}_{T_0}, \xi] = e^{iu\xi}\mathbb{E}[e^{iu\eta}]$$

which gives $|\mathbb{E}[e^{iu\eta}]| = 1$, so that η is deterministic. A contradiction. □

The fact stated in Corollary 2.17 was also proved by Kabluchko and Stoev [9] by different methods.

Remark 2.18. Comparing Theorem 2.12(c) and this corollary, the σ-finiteness of Lévy measure and the separability of the corresponding Poissonian infinitely divisible process seem to be close. However, the separability in probability is a stronger condition. Indeed, let $V = \{V_t\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ be a family of i.i.d. Rademacher random variables, $\mathbb{P}(V_t = \pm 1) = 1/2$, and let ν be the probability distribution of V in $(\mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}, \mathscr{B}^{[0,1]})$. ν trivially satisfies (2.1), so it is a Lévy measure. Let $Y = \{Y_t\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ be the corresponding compound Poisson process, see Example 2.25. Since $\mathbb{P}(|Y_t - Y_s| > 1) > 1/(2e)$ for any $s \neq t$, Y has finite Lévy measure but is not separable in probability.

2.4 Representations and examples of Lévy measures of processes

A natural way to describe Lévy measures on path spaces is to view them as "laws of processes" defined on possibly infinite measure spaces. Below we formalize this approach.

Definition 2.19. *Let $\{\nu_I : I \in \hat{T}\}$ be a consistent family of finite dimensional Lévy measures, which extends uniquely to a Lévy measure ν by Theorem 2.7. A collection of measurable functions $V = (V_t)_{t\in T}$ defined on a measure space $(S, \mathscr{S}, n)$ is said to be a representation of ν if for every $I \in \hat{T}$*

$$n\{V_I \in B\} = \nu_I(B)\,, \quad \text{for every } B \in \mathscr{B}_0^I\,.$$

A representation V is called exact if $n \circ V^{-1} = \nu$ or, equivalently, if $n \circ V^{-1}$ satisfies (L2). Here V is viewed as a function from S into $\mathbb{R}^T$ given by $V(s)(\cdot) = V_{(\cdot)}(s)$.

Representations of Lévy measures are useful for stochastic integral and series representations of the corresponding infinitely divisible processes while exact representations give precise forms of Lévy measures. The difference between these two representations is a technical one, as it is shown below.

Lemma 2.20. *Any representation of a Lévy measure, defined on a σ-finite measure space, can be modified to an exact representation by restricting it to a smaller domain.*

Remark 2.21. Any Lévy measure ν has an exact representation. Indeed, the evaluation process $V_t(x) = x(t)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^T$, $t \in T$ is an exact representation of ν on $(S, \mathscr{S}, n) = (\mathbb{R}^T, \mathscr{B}^T, \nu)$. However, such representation does not give much of information about the Lévy measure because it is too general. Therefore, we are seeking more specific representations on richer structures, such as Borel spaces (which are Borel subsets of Polish spaces, see [10, Ch. 1]).

Example 2.22 (Lévy processes)**.** Let $Y = (Y_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a Poissonian Lévy process determined by $\mathbb{E}e^{iuY_t} = e^{tK(u)}$, where K is the cumulant function given by

$$K(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{iux} - 1 - iu[\![x]\!])\,\rho(dx) + iuc.$$

For every $I = \{t_1, \ldots, t_n\}$, with $0 \leq t_1 < \cdots < t_n$, and $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^I (\equiv \mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\mathbb{E}\exp i\sum_{k=1}^n a_k Y_{t_k} = \exp\left\{\sum_{k=1}^n K(u_k)\Delta t_k\right\},$$

where $\Delta t_k = t_k - t_{k-1}$, $u_k = \sum_{j=k}^n a_j$, and $t_0 = 0$. Therefore, the Lévy measure ν_I of X_I is given by

$$\nu_I(B) = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{1}_B(vx_k)\,\rho(dv)\Delta t_k, \quad B \in \mathscr{B}^n \tag{2.13}$$

where $x_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $x_k = (\underbrace{0,\dots,0}_{k-1\,\text{times}},1,\dots,1)$, $k=1,\dots,n$. Define $V=(V_t)_{t\in T}$ on the half-plane $\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}$ equipped with a measure $\lambda\otimes\rho$ given by

$$V_t(r,v)=\mathbf{1}_{\{t\geq r\}}v,\quad (r,v)\in\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}, \tag{2.14}$$

where λ denotes the Lebesgue measure. We first verify that V is a representation of the Lévy measure ν of Y. Let I be a finite set of indices as above. For any $B\in\mathscr{B}_0^I$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\lambda\otimes\rho)\{V_I\in B\}&=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}_B(V_I(r,v))\,dr\rho(dv)\\&=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\sum_{k=1}^n\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k}\mathbf{1}_B(\mathbf{1}_{\{t_1\geq r\}}v,\dots,\mathbf{1}_{\{t\geq r\}}v)\,dr\rho(dv)\\&=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\sum_{k=1}^n\mathbf{1}_B(\underbrace{0,\dots,0}_{k-1\,\text{times}},v,\dots,v)\,\rho(dv)\Delta t_k=\nu_I(B)\,,\end{aligned}$$

as in (2.13). To check that V is exact it is enough to verify (2.1). Indeed, for $T_0=\mathbb{N}$ we have

$$(\lambda\otimes\rho)\{(r,v):\ \mathbf{1}_{\{n\geq r\}}v=0\ \forall\, n\in\mathbb{N}\}=0.$$

Thus V in (2.14) is an exact representation of ν.

Example 2.23 (Squared Bessel processes)**.** Let $Y=(Y_t)_{t\geq0}$ denote a squared Bessel process of dimension $\beta>0$ starting from 0. If $\beta\in\mathbb{N}$, then $Y_t:=\|B_t\|^2$, where B is a β-dimensional standard Brownian motion. In general, Y is defined as the unique solution of the stochastic differential equation

$$dY_t=2\sqrt{Y_t}\,dW_t+\beta\,dt,\quad Y_0=0,$$

where W is a one dimensional standard Brownian motion. Shiga and Watanabe [25] showed that squared Bessel processes are infinitely divisible and Pitman and Yor [16] described their Lévy measures on $C(\mathbb{R}_+)$. We will adapt that characterization to our setting.

Let

$$U_+:=\{u\in C(\mathbb{R}_+):u(0)=0,\ u_{|(0,t_0)}>0,\ u_{|[t_0,\infty)}=0\text{ for some }t_0>0\}.$$

U_+ is a Borel subset of $C(\mathbb{R}_+)$, on which we consider the Itô measure n_+ of the Brownian positive excursions, see Revuz and Yor [18, Chapter XII]. Let $L_\infty^a(u)$ denote the total accumulated local time of an excursion $u\in U_+$ at $a>0$. Symbolically,

$$L_\infty^a(u)=\int_0^\infty\delta_a(u(t))\,dt.$$

Set $L_\infty^a(u)=0$ when $a\leq0$. Define $V=(V_t)_{t\geq0}$ on a Borel space $\mathbb{R}_+\times U_+$ with a measure $\beta\lambda\otimes n_+$ by

$$V_t(r,u)=L_\infty^{t-r}(u),\quad r\geq0,\ u\in U_+. \tag{2.15}$$

To check that V is an exact representation of the Lévy measure ν of Y we invoke an equation given after Theorem 3.2 in Mansuy and Yor [12] which states that

$$\int_{U_+} \nu(du)F(u) = \beta \int_{U_+} M(du) \int_0^\infty dr\, F(u((\cdot - r)^+))$$

for any measurable functional $F : U_+ \mapsto \mathbb{R}_+$, where $M = n_+ \circ L_\infty^{(\cdot)^{-1}}$. This equation says that $(\beta\lambda \otimes n_+) \circ V^{-1} = \nu$.

Example 2.24 (Feller diffusion)**.** We consider a Feller diffusion $Z = (Z_t)_{t\geq 0}$ without the drift term, which satisfies the stochastic differential equation

$$dZ_t = \sigma\sqrt{Z_t}\, dW_t, \quad Z_0 = a > 0,$$

where W is a one dimensional standard Brownian motion. By change of time, $Y_t = Z_{4\sigma^{-2}t}$ we have

$$dY_t = 2\sqrt{Y_t}\, dW'_t, \quad Y_0 = a > 0,$$

where W' is another standard Brownian motion. Therefore, Y is a 0-dimensional squared Bessel process whose Lévy measure ν_0 given in the above cited [12, Theorem 3.2]. Namely, in the notation of Example 2.23, $\nu_0 = a\, n_+ \circ L_\infty^{(\cdot)^{-1}}$. Therefore, $V = (V_t)_{t\geq 0}$ given by

$$V_t(u) = L_\infty^{4^{-1}\sigma^2 t}(u), \quad u \in U_+ \tag{2.16}$$

is a representation of the Lévy measure of $Z = (Z_t)_{t\geq 0}$ on $(U_+, \mathscr{B}(U_+), a\, n_+)$.

The next example provides a simple illustration for the method of Lemma 2.20.

Example 2.25 (General compound Poisson processes)**.** Let $V = \{V_t\}_{t\in T}$ be a stochastic process and let ζ a Poisson random variable with mean θ. Let $\{V^{(n)}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of independent copies of V and independent of ζ. Then

$$Y_t = \sum_{n=1}^{\zeta} V_t^{(n)}, \quad t \in T$$

is a Poissonian infinitely divisible process such that for every $I \in \hat{T}$, $a \in \mathbb{R}^I$,

$$\mathbb{E} \exp i \sum_{t\in I} a_t Y_t = \exp\left\{\theta \mathbb{E}(e^{i\sum_{t\in I} a_t V_t} - 1)\right\} = \exp\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^I} (e^{i\langle a,y\rangle} - 1)\, \nu_I(dy)\right\}. \tag{2.17}$$

Thus $V = \{V_t\}_{t\in T}$ is a representation the Lévy measure ν of Y on $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \theta\mathbb{P})$. By the proof of Lemma 2.20, the restriction V_0 of V to $\Omega_0 := \{\omega : V_{T_0}(\omega) \neq 0\}$ is an exact representation of ν, where $T_0 \in \hat{T}_c$ is such that

$$\mathbb{P}(V_{T_0} = 0) = \inf_{J\in\hat{T}} \mathbb{P}\{\omega : V_J(\omega) = 0\}. \tag{2.18}$$

3 Lévy-Itô representations and transfer of regularity for Lévy measures

The following is a direct extension of Proposition 2.9 with a similar proof. Thus the proof will be omitted.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $X = (X_t)_{t\in T}$ be an infinitely divisible process with the generating triplet (Σ, ν, b). Suppose $V = (V_t)_{t\in T}$ is a representation of ν on $(S, \mathscr{S}, n)$. Let $G = (G_t)_{t\in T}$ be a centered Gaussian process with covariance Σ and let N be a Poisson random measure on $(S, \mathscr{S})$ with intensity n such that G and N are independent. Then the process $X' = (X'_t)_{t\in T}$ given by*

$$X'_t := G_t + \int_S V_t(s) \left(N(ds) - \chi(V_t(s))\, n(ds)\right) + b(t), \quad t \in T, \tag{3.1}$$

is a version of the process X.

The next theorem shows that, under some regularity assumptions, spectral representations hold almost surely. Recall Definition 2.16 of a process separable in probability.

Theorem 3.2 (Generalized Lévy-Itô representation)**.** *Let $X = (X_t)_{t\in T}$ be a separable in probability infinitely divisible process with a separant T_0 and the generating triplet (Σ, ν, b). Assume that the probability space is rich enough to support independent of X a standard uniform random variable. Then, given a representation $V = (V_t)_{t\in T}$ of ν defined on a σ-finite measure space $(S, \mathscr{S}, n)$, where $\mathscr{S}$ is (modulo n) countably generated, there exist a centered Gaussian process $G = (G_t)_{t\in T}$ with covariance Σ, an independent of G Poisson random measure N on $(S, \mathscr{S})$ with intensity n, such that for every $t \in T$*

$$X_t = G_t + \int_S V_t(s) \left(N(ds) - \chi(V_t(s))\, n(ds)\right) + b(t) \quad a.s. \tag{3.2}$$

To illustrate our general approach we consider the three examples from Section 2.4.

Corollary 3.3.

(a) Lévy processes. *Let $Y = (Y_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a Poissonian Lévy process as in Example 2.22, with $\chi = \mathbf{1}\{|v| \leq 1\}$ for concreteness. By (2.14) and (3.2) we have for every $t \geq 0$ a.s.*

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{1}_{\{t\geq r\}} v \left(N(dr, dv) - \chi(\mathbf{1}_{\{t\geq r\}} v)\, dr\rho(dv)\right) + ct \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} v \left(N(dr, dv) - \chi(v)\, dr\rho(dv)\right) + ct, \end{aligned}$$

where N is s Poisson random measure on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ with intensity $\lambda \otimes \rho$.

(b) Squared Bessel processes. *Let $Y = (Y_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a squared Bessel process of dimension $\beta > 0$ starting from 0, as in Example 2.23. By (2.15) and (3.2) we have for every $t \geq 0$ a.s.*

$$Y_t = \int_0^t \int_{U_+} L_\infty^{t-r}(u)\, N(dr, du)\,.$$

where N is s Poisson random measure on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times U_+$ with intensity $\beta\lambda \otimes n_+$. Therefore, a squared Bessel process Y is a mixed stochastic convolution.

(c) Feller diffusion. *Let $Z = (Z_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a Feller diffusion starting from $a > 0$, as in Example 2.24. By* (2.16) *and* (3.2) *we have for every $t \geq 0$ a.s.*

$$Z_t = \int_{U_+} L_\infty^{\kappa t}(u)\, N(du)\,.$$

where $\kappa = \sigma^2/4$ and N is s Poisson random measure on U_+ with intensity $a\, n_+$.

(d) *(Compound Poisson process). Let $Y = (Y_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a compound Poisson process as in Example 2.25. By* (2.17)-(2.18) *and* (3.2)*, for every $t \in T$ we have a.s.*

$$Y_t = \int_\Omega V_t(\omega)\, N(d\omega) = \int_{\{V_{T_0}\neq 0\}} V_t(\omega)\, N(d\omega)\,,$$

where N is s Poisson random measure on Ω with intensity $\theta\mathbb{P}$.

By and large, the transfer of regularity property for Lévy measures says that regularities of sample paths of infinitely divisible processes are inherited by representations of their Lévy measures. The converse does not hold in general. A precise statement is given below.

Theorem 3.4 (Transfer of regularity)**.** *Let $X = (X_t)_{t\in T}$ be an infinitely divisible process with a σ-finite Lévy measure ν. Assume that paths of X lie in a set U that is Borel for the σ-algebra $\mathscr{U} = \mathscr{B}^T \cap U$ and such that U is a subgroup of $\mathbb{R}^T$ under addition. Then for any exact representation $V = (V_t)_{t\in T}$ of ν given on a σ-finite measure space $(S, \mathscr{S}, n)$, there exists an exact representation $\widetilde{V} = \left(\widetilde{V}_t\right)_{t\in T}$ of ν on the same measure space such that all paths of $\widetilde{V}$ lie in U and $n\{s : \widetilde{V}_t(s) \neq V_t(s)\} = 0$ for all $t \in T$. Therefore, both the distribution of X and its Lévy measure ν are carried by a Borel space U.*

The proof of the above theorem uses the following lemma that may be of independent interest.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $X = (X_t)_{t\in T}$ be a stochastic process and let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^T$. Assume that $(U, \mathscr{U})$ is a Borel space for the σ-algebra $\mathscr{U} = \mathscr{B}^T \cap U$. Then there exists a process $\widetilde{X}$ with all paths in U such that $\widetilde{X}_t = X_t$ a.s. for every $t \in T$ if and only if*

$$\mathbb{P}(X \in A) = 0 \quad \text{for all } A \subset \mathbb{R}^T \setminus U,\ A \in \mathscr{B}^T. \tag{3.3}$$

4 Isomorphism identities and spectral representations

We begin with some identities for expectations of functionals of stochastic processes. We present them under different degrees of generality of the assumptions, which is more suitable for applications. For any two σ-finite measures μ and ν, we write $\mu \ll \nu$ when μ is absolutely continuous with respect to ν, and $\mu \sim \nu$ when these measures are equivalent. We will also write $\mathbb{E}[\xi;\, \eta]$ for $\mathbb{E}[\xi\eta]$ and $\mathbb{E}[\xi;\, A] := \mathbb{E}[\xi \mathbf{1}_A]$, and use the convention $0 \cdot \infty = 0$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $X = (X_t)_{t\in T}$ be an infinitely divisible process having a σ-finite Lévy measure ν. Let $Z = (Z_t)_{t\in T}$ be a process independent of X such that $\mathscr{L}(Z) \ll \nu$. Then $\mathscr{L}(X+Z) \ll \mathscr{L}(X)$. Hence, there exists a measurable functional $g : \mathbb{R}^T \mapsto \mathbb{R}_+$ such that for any measurable functional $F : \mathbb{R}^T \mapsto \mathbb{R}$*

$$\mathbb{E}F\left((X_t + Z_t)_{t\in T}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left[F\left((X_t)_{t\in T}\right);\, g(X)\right]. \tag{4.1}$$

Remark 4.2. (a) From (4.1) it follows that the linear spaces of functionals $F(X+Z)$ of the process $X+Z$ and $F(X)$ of X are isometric under various norms, such as the L^p-norms, but with respect to possibly different probability measures. This may explain the name "isomorphism theorems" or "isomorphism identities" for results of this kind.
(b) The processes Z can be viewed as a random translation of X and Theorems 4.1-4.3 gives conditions when such translation is "admissible".

The function g in (4.1) can be specified only in some cases. Therefore, below we give another more explicit function in place of g. We can also impose a slightly weaker condition on the process Z in part (b) than in the previous theorem.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $X = (X_t)_{t\in T}$ be an infinitely divisible process of the form $X = G + Y$, where $G = (G_t)_{t\in T}$ is a centered Gaussian process independent of a Poissonian process $Y = (Y_t)_{t\in T}$ having a σ-finite Lévy measure ν and given by its canonical spectral representation*

$$Y_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}^T} x(t)[N(dx) - \chi(x(t))\nu(dx)] + b(t), \quad t \in T, \tag{4.2}$$

where N is a Poisson random measure with intensity ν (see Proposition 2.9). Let $Z = (Z_t)_{t\in T}$ be an arbitrary process independent of N.

(a) *Suppose that $\mathscr{L}(Z) \ll \nu$ and let $q := \frac{d\mathscr{L}(Z)}{d\nu}$ be the Radon-Nikodym derivative of $\mathscr{L}(Z)$ with respect to ν. Then for any measurable functional $F : \mathbb{R}^T \mapsto \mathbb{R}$*

$$\mathbb{E}F\left((X_t + Z_t)_{t\in T}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left[F\left((X_t)_{t\in T}\right);\, N(q)\right] \tag{4.3}$$

where

$$N(q) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^T} q(x)\, N(dx)\,.$$

Conversely, for any F as above,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[F\left((X_t)_{t\in T}\right);\, N(q) > 0\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[F\left((X_t + Z_t)_{t\in T}\right)(N(q) + q(Z))^{-1}\right] \tag{4.4}$$

where $q(Z) = q\left((Z_t)_{t\in T}\right)$. *Therefore, if* $\nu\{x : q(x) > 0\} = \infty$, *then* $\mathscr{L}(Y+Z)$ *and* $\mathscr{L}(Y)$ *are equivalent.*

(b) *Suppose that* $\mathscr{L}(Z) \ll \nu + \delta_{0_T}$. *Let* $q := \frac{d\mathscr{L}(Z)}{d(\nu+\delta_{0_T})}$ *be the Radon-Nikodym derivative of* $\mathscr{L}(Z)$ *with respect to* $\nu + \delta_{0_T}$. *Then for any measurable functional* $F : \mathbb{R}^T \mapsto \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathbb{E}F\left((X_t + Z_t)_{t\in T}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left[F\left((X_t)_{t\in T}\right);\, N(q) + q(0_T)\right]. \tag{4.5}$$

Conversely, for any F *as above,*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[F\left((X_t)_{t\in T}\right);\, N(q) + q(0_T) > 0\right] \\ = \mathbb{E}\left[F\left((X_t + Z_t)_{t\in T}\right)\left(N(q) + q(Z) + q(0_T)\mathbf{1}_{U^c}(Z)\right)^{-1}\right]\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

where $q(Z) = q\left((Z_t)_{t\in T}\right)$ *and* U *is any set such that* $0_T \in U \in \mathscr{B}^T$ *and* $\nu(U) = 0$. *Therefore,* $\mathscr{L}(Y+Z)$ *and* $\mathscr{L}(Y)$ *are equivalent if* $q(0_T) > 0$ *or* $\nu\{x : q(x) > 0\} = \infty$.

The previous two theorems will be proved as special cases of the next result.

Theorem 4.4. *Let* $X = (X_t)_{t\in T}$ *be an infinitely divisible process given by*

$$X_t = G_t + \int_S V_t(s)\Big[N(ds) - \chi(V_t(s))n(ds)\Big] + b(t)\,,$$

where $V = (V_t)_{t\in T}$ *is a representation of the Lévy measure of* X *defined on a* σ*-finite measure space* $(S, \mathscr{S}, n)$, N *is a Poisson random measure on* $(S, \mathscr{S})$ *with intensity* n, $G = (G_t)_{t\in T}$ *is a centered Gaussian process independent of* N, *and* b *is a shift function. Choose an arbitrary measurable function* $q : S \mapsto \mathbb{R}_+$ *such that* $\int_S q(s)\, n(ds) = 1$. *Then for any measurable functional* $F : \mathbb{R}^T \mapsto \mathbb{R}$

$$\int_S \mathbb{E}F\left((X_t + V_t(s))_{t\in T}\right)\, q(s)\, n(ds) = \mathbb{E}[F\left((X_t)_{t\in T}\right);\, N(q)]\,, \tag{4.7}$$

where

$$N(q) = \int_S q(s)\, N(ds)\,.$$

Conversely, for any F *as above,*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[F\Big(\,(X_t)_{t\in T}\,\Big)\,; N(q) > 0] \\ = \int_S \mathbb{E}\Big[F\left((X_t + V_t(s))_{t\in T}\right);\, (N(q) + q(s))^{-1}\Big]\, q(s)\, n(ds)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

If $n\{s \in S : q(s) > 0\} = \infty$ *then*

$$\mathbb{E}[F\left((X_t)_{t\in T}\right)] = \int_S \mathbb{E}\Big[F\Big((X_t + V_t(s)\Big)_{t\in T}\Big);\, (N(q) + q(s))^{-1}\Big]\, q(s)\, n(ds)\,. \tag{4.9}$$

Corollary 4.5. *Taking $F \equiv 1$ in* (4.8) *we get*

$$\mathbb{P}(N(q) > 0) = \int_{\{q(s)>0\}} \mathbb{E}\Big[(N(q) + q(s))^{-1}\Big]\, q(s)\, n(ds)\,,$$

which is interesting to compare with (6.7)*.*

Example 4.6 (Dynkin isomorphism for permanental processes)**.**
First we will recall some definition and facts about permanental processes that will be needed in the sequel. A positive real-valued stochastic process $Y = (Y_x)_{x\in E}$ over a set E is called a α-permanental process with kernel $(u(x, y) : x, y \in E)$ if for every $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in E$ and $s_1, \ldots, s_n \geq 0$

$$\mathbb{E}\exp\Big\{-\sum_{j=1}^{n} s_j Y_{x_j}\Big\} = |I + US|^{-\alpha} \tag{4.10}$$

where U and S are $n \times n$-matrices, $U = (u(x_i, x_j) : 1 \leq i, j \leq n)$, $S = \mathrm{diag}(\mathrm{s}_1, \ldots, \mathrm{s}_\mathrm{n})$, and $\alpha > 0$. The one dimensional marginal of Y_x is a gamma distribution with shape parameter α and mean $\alpha u(x, x)$ (in particular, it is exponential when $\alpha = 1$, or a χ^2-distribution when $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}/2$). Therefore, (4.10) can be viewed as a generalization of such distributions to the multivariate case. The importance of permanental processes comes from their connection to Markov processes. Eisenbaum and Kaspi [8, Theorem 3.1] proved that if $X = (X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a transient Markov process with a state space E and potential density $(u(x, y) : x, y \in E)$, then for every $\alpha > 0$ there exists a α-permanental process $Y^{(\alpha)} = (Y_x)_{x\in E}$ whose kernel is $(u(x, y) : x, y \in E)$. The existence of $Y^{(\alpha)}$ for every $\alpha > 0$ implies that all $Y^{(\alpha)}$ are Poissonian infinitely divisible processes. Therefore, by Theorem 2.7, there is a Lévy measure ν on $(\mathbb{R}^E, \mathscr{B}^E)$ such that for every $Y^{(\alpha)} = (Y_x)_{x\in E}$

$$\mathbb{E}\exp\Big\{-\sum_{j=1}^{n} s_j Y_{x_j}\Big\} = \exp\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^E} \left(e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{n} s_j \beta(x_j)} - 1\right) \alpha\nu(d\beta)\right], \tag{4.11}$$

where $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in E$, $s_1, \ldots, s_n \geq 0$, and $n \geq 1$. ν is the Lévy measure of the 1-permanental process. Under some weak assumptions on $Y^{(\alpha)}$, such as its separability in probability, ν is also σ-finite, see Corollary 2.17.

To apply an isomorphism identity to Y we need a nontrivial process $Z = (Z_x)_{x\in E}$ such that $\mathscr{L}(Z) \ll \nu$. We will use here some ideas and methods from [8]. To this end, consider the local time $(L_t^x : x \in E, t \geq 0)$ of X normalized to satisfy $\mathbb{E}_x(L_\infty^y) = u(x, y)$. Fix $a \in E$ with $u(a, a) > 0$, and let $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_a$ be the probability under which the process X starts at a and is killed at its last visit to a. Let $Z = (L_\infty^x)_{x\in E}$ be the process of the total accumulated local time considered under probability measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_a$. By [14, Lemma 2.6.2] and a direct computation we have, for every $x_1 = a, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in E$ and $s_1, \ldots, s_n \geq 0$,

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_a \exp\Big\{-\sum_{j=1}^{n} s_j L_\infty^{x_j}\Big\} = u(a, a)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial s_1} \log|I + US|\,. \tag{4.12}$$

Combining (4.10), (4.11), and (4.12) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_a \exp\Big\{-\sum_{j=1}^n s_j L_\infty^{x_j}\Big\} &= -\alpha^{-1}u(a,a)^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial s_1}\log|I+US|^{-\alpha}\\ &= -\alpha^{-1}u(a,a)^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial s_1}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^E}\left(e^{-\sum_{j=1}^n s_j\beta(x_j)}-1\right)\alpha\nu(d\beta)\right]\\ &= u(a,a)^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^E} e^{-\sum_{j=1}^n s_j\beta(x_j)}\,\beta(a)\,\nu(d\beta)\,,\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\mathscr{L}(Z)\ll\nu$, where $Z_x = L_\infty^x$, $x\in E$. We also have

$$q(\beta) := \frac{d\mathscr{L}(Z)}{d(\alpha\nu)}(\beta) = \frac{\beta(a)}{\alpha u(a,a)}\,,\quad \beta\in\mathbb{R}^E.$$

Since Y has the canonical spectral representation of the form $Y_x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^E}\beta(x)\,N(d\beta)$, we get $N(q) = (\alpha u(a,a))^{-1}Y_a$, so that by Theorem 4.3, for any measurable functional $F:\mathbb{R}^E\mapsto\mathbb{R}$

$$\mathbb{E}\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_a\left[F\left((Y_x+L_\infty^x)_{x\in E}\right)\right] = \alpha^{-1}u(a,a)^{-1}\,\mathbb{E}\left[F\left((Y_x)_{x\in E}\right);\,Y_a\right]\,. \tag{4.13}$$

Here we are assuming that the processes $Y=(Y_x)_{x\in E}$ and $Z=(L_\infty^x)_{x\in E}$ depend on different coordinates of a product probability space with the probability measure $\mathbb{P}\otimes\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_a$, so they are independent. Identity (4.13) is just the Dynkin's Isomorphism Theorem. Since $Y_a>0$, we also have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[F\left((Y_x)_{x\in E}\right)\right] = \alpha u(a,a)\,\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_a\mathbb{E}\left[F\left((Y_x+L_\infty^x)_{x\in E}\right)(Y_a+L_\infty^a)^{-1}\right]\,.$$

Notice that, while $\mathscr{L}(Z)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to Lévy measure ν of Y, it is not equivalent to ν. Indeed, the set $B=\{\beta\in\mathbb{R}_+^E:\beta(a)=0\}$ is a null set for $\mathscr{L}(Z)$ under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_a$, but it is not a null set for ν, even in the case when E is a two-pint set. Indeed, from Vere-Jones [27] we see that the Lévy measure of a two dimensional permanental vector has singular components on the axes, except for the trivial totally correlated case, so that $\nu(B)>0$ in general. Nevertheless, by the transfer of regularity for Lévy measures (Theorem 3.4), "nice" sample path properties of Y transfer to ν, and by the absolute continuity, they transfer to $Z=(L_\infty^x)_{x\in E}$.

Isomorphism identities can also be useful for Lévy processes. We begin with a corollary to Theorem 4.4.

Corollary 4.7. *Let $X=(X_t)_{t\ge0}$ be a Lévy process such that $\mathbb{E}e^{iuX_t}=e^{tK(u)}$, where*

$$K(u) = -\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2u^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}}(e^{iux}-1-iu[\![x]\!])\,\rho(dx) + icu\,. \tag{4.14}$$

Let $q:\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}\mapsto\mathbb{R}_+$ be a measurable function such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}}q(r,v)\,dr\rho(dv)=1$. Then for any measurable functional $F:\mathbb{R}^T\mapsto\mathbb{R}$

$$\mathbb{E}\int_{\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}}F\left(\left(X_t+\mathbf{1}_{\{r\le t\}}v\right)_{t\ge0}\right)\,q(r,v)\,dr\rho(dv) = \mathbb{E}[F\left((X_t)_{t\ge0}\right);\,g(X)]\,,$$

where $g(X) = \sum_{\{r>0:\,\Delta X_r\neq 0\}} q(r,\Delta X_r)$ *and* $\Delta X_r = X_r - X_{r-}$. *Conversely,*

$$\mathbb{E}[F\Big((X_t)_{t\geq 0}\Big)\,; g(X)>0] \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}\Big[F\left(\Big(X_t + \mathbf{1}_{\{r\leq t\}}v\Big)_{t\geq 0}\right)\,;\,(g(X)+q(r,v))^{-1}\Big]\,q(r,v)\,dr\rho(dv)\,.$$

Moreover, $g(X)>0$ *a.s. if* $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{1}\{q(r,v)>0\}\,dr\rho(dv)=\infty$.

Proof: This is a direct application of Theorem 4.4. Indeed, has the Lévy-Itô decomposition

$$X_t = G_t + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{1}_{\{r\leq t\}} v\left(N(dr,dv) - \chi(v)dr\rho(dv)\right) + ct,$$

where $N = \sum_{\{r:\,\Delta X_r\neq 0\}} \delta_{(r,\Delta X_r)}$. Hence

$$N(q) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}} q(r,v)\,N(dr,dv) = \sum_{\{r>0:\,\Delta X_r\neq 0\}} q(r,\Delta X_r) := g(X),$$

as desired. □

The next example specifies a set of admissible random translations for a Poisson process.

Example 4.8. *Let* $Y=\{Y_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ *be a Poisson process with rate* $\lambda>0$ *and let* $Z_t = \mathbf{1}_{[\zeta,\infty)}(t)$, $t\geq 0$, *where* $\zeta>0$ *is a random variable with density* h *and independent of* Y. *Then* $\mathscr{L}(Y+Z)\ll\mathscr{L}(Y)$ *and*

$$\mathbb{E}[F\left((Y_t+Z_t)_{t\geq 0}\right)] = \mathbb{E}[F\left((Y_t)_{t\geq 0}\right)\,;\,g(Y)]$$

where $g(Y) = \lambda^{-1}\int_0^\infty h\,dY$. *Conversely,*

$$\mathbb{E}[F\Big((Y_t)_{t\geq 0}\Big)\,;g(Y)>0] = \mathbb{E}[F\Big((Y_t+Z_t)_{t\geq 0}\Big)\,;(g(Y)+\lambda^{-1}h(\zeta))^{-1}]\,.$$

Moreover, $g(Y)>0$ *a.s. if* $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}\mathbf{1}\{h(r)>0\}\,dr=\infty$, *in which case* $\mathscr{L}(Y+Z)$ *and* $\mathscr{L}(Y)$ *are equivalent.*

Proof. It follows from Corollary 4.7 for $q(r,v)=\lambda^{-1}h(r)$ and $\rho=\lambda\delta_1$. □

In the previous example function q depended only on time variable. Now we consider the case when q depends only on the space variable.

Example 4.9. *Let* $X=(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ *be a Lévy process as in Corollary 4.7. Let* $q_1:\mathbb{R}\mapsto\mathbb{R}_+$ *be such that* $\int_{\mathbb{R}} q_1(v)\,\rho(dv)=1$. *Consider a fixed time horizon* $h>0$, *so that* $T=[0,h]$ *and let*

$$X_t = G_t + \int_{[0,h]\times\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{1}_{\{r\leq t\}} v\left(N(dr,dv) - \chi(v)dr\rho(dv)\right) + ct, \quad t\in[0,h]\,.$$

To apply Theorem 4.4, take $q(r,v) = h^{-1}q_1(v)$, *so that* $\int_{[0,h]\times\mathbb{R}} q(r,v)\,dr\rho(dv) = 1$, *and compute*

$$N(q) = \int_{[0,h]\times\mathbb{R}} q(r,v)\,N(dr,dv) = h^{-1}\int_{[0,h]\times\mathbb{R}} q_1(v)\,N(dr,dv) := h^{-1}W_h\,,$$

where $W = (W_h)_{h\geq 0}$ *is a subordinator with Lévy measure* ρ_1 *given by* $\rho_1(x,\infty) = \rho(q_1^{-1}(x,\infty))$, $x \geq 0$. *Then, for every measurable* $F : \mathbb{R}^{[0,h]} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathbb{E}\int_{\mathbb{R}} F\left(\left(X_t + \mathbf{1}_{\{r\leq t\}}v\right)_{t\in[0,h]}\right) q_1(v)\,\rho(dv) = h^{-1}\mathbb{E}[F\left((X_t)_{t\in[0,h]}\right);\, W_h]\,,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}[F\Big(\,(X_t)_{t\in[0,h]}\,\Big)\,; W_h > 0] \\ &\qquad = h\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}\Big[F\left(\left(X_t + \mathbf{1}_{\{r\leq t\}}v\right)_{t\in[0,h]}\right);\,(W_h + q_1(v))^{-1}\Big]\, q_1(v)\,\rho(dv)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

To illustrate usefulness of these formulas, in the next corollary we give an alternative proof to a known fact on the behavior of the distributions of the Lévy process at the origin. Actually, we prove a more general version of this fact, see, e.g., [24, Corollary 8.9], and the last statement of the corollary seems to be new.

Proposition 4.10. *Let* $X = (X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ *be a Lévy process and let* ρ *be the Lévy measure of* X_1. *Let* $f : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ *be a bounded function continuous on a set of full* ρ*-measure such that* $f(x) = o(x^2)$ *as* $x \to 0$ *(or* $f(x) = O(x^2)$ *when* X *has no Gaussian component). Then*

$$\lim_{h\to 0} h^{-1}\mathbb{E}f(X_h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(v)\,\rho(dv)\,. \tag{4.16}$$

If X *is a subordinator, then the assumption of continuity can be weakened to the right-continuity.*

Corollary 4.11. *If* X *is a Lévy process then for any* ρ*-continuity set* B *with* $0 \notin \bar{B}$ *we have* $\lim_{h\to 0} h^{-1}\mathbb{P}(X_h \in B) = \nu(B)$. *If in addition* X *is a subordinator, then for every* $r > 0$ *we have* $\lim_{h\to 0} h^{-1}\mathbb{P}(X_h \in [r,\infty)) = \nu([r,\infty))$.

Proof: In the first part of the corollary we take $f(x) = \mathbf{1}_B(x)$ and in the second part we take $f(x) = \mathbf{1}[r,\infty)(x)$, and apply the above.

5 Series representations and isomorphism identities

Here we will show how representations of Lévy measures lead to series representations of Poissonian infinitely divisible processes. This method of constructing series representations was initiated in [19] and further developed in [22].

Theorem 5.1. *Let $Y = (Y_t)_{t\in T}$ be a Poissonian infinitely divisible process with the generating triplet $(0, \nu, b)$. Let $V = (V_t)_{t\in T}$ be a representation of ν on a σ-finite measure space $(S, \mathscr{S}, n)$. Consider a probability measure $n^{(1)}$ equivalent to n, so that $n^{(1)}(ds) = g(s)n(ds)$ for some $g > 0$ n-a.e. Let $(\xi_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an i.i.d. sequence of random elements in S with the common distribution $n^{(1)}$ and let $(\Gamma_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of partial sums of i.i.d. mean-one exponential random variables independent of the sequence $(\xi_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$. Then*

(i) *For every $t \in T$ the series*

$$S_t^{(0)} := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} V_t(\xi_j)\mathbf{1}\{g(\xi_j) \le \Gamma_j^{-1}\} \tag{5.1}$$

converges a.s. if and only if the limit

$$c(t) := \lim_{j\to\infty} \int_S [\![V_t(s)]\!] \, (jg(s) \wedge 1) \, n(ds) \quad \textit{exists}. \tag{5.2}$$

If (5.2) holds then

$$(Y_t)_{t\in T} \stackrel{d}{=} \left(S_t^{(0)} + b(t) - c(t)\right)_{t\in T} . \tag{5.3}$$

In particular, if $Y_t \ge 0$, or more generally, if $\int_{\mathbb{R}^T} |x(t)| \wedge 1 \, \nu(dx) < \infty$, then (5.2) holds.

(ii) *For every $t \in T$ the centered series*

$$S_t := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[V_t(\xi_j)\mathbf{1}\{g(\xi_j) \le \Gamma_j^{-1}\} - c_j(t)\right] \tag{5.4}$$

converges a.s. and

$$(Y_t)_{t\in T} \stackrel{d}{=} (S_t + b(t))_{t\in T} , \tag{5.5}$$

where

$$c_j(t) = \int_S [\![V_t(s)]\!] \left\{(jg(s) \wedge 1) - ((j-1)g(s) \wedge 1)\right\} n(ds) .$$

Under (5.2), $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j(t) = c(t)$, so that $S_t = S_t^{(0)} - c(t)$.

Proof. This proof is a routine application of Theorem 4.1 [22] and thus it is omitted. □

Remark 5.2. **(a)** If Y is separable in probability and defined on a rich enough probability space (see Theorem 3.2), then proceeding as in [22], we can choose V_j, Γ_j on the same probability space as Y such that (5.3) and (5.5) hold not only in distribution but also almost surely.

(b) If sample paths of Y belong to a separable Banach space, then the pointwise convergent series (5.1) and (5.4) converge a.s. in the norm of that Banach space. Such conclusion is generally false when sample paths of Y belong to a non-separable Banach space. An

exception is the Skorohod space under the uniform topology, which is not separable, in which such series converge uniformly a.s., see [3].

(c) There is some analogy between series expansions of Poissonian infinitely divisible process, such as in Theorem 5.1, and Karhunen-Loève series representation of Gaussian processes. Exploring this analogy, one has the corresponding results for the oscillation and zero-one laws of Poissonian infinitely divisible process. See [4] and [20].

Example 5.3 (Feller diffusions)**.** Let $Z = (Z_t)_{t\in T}$ be a Feller diffusion, as in Example 2.24. Recall that $V_t = L^{\kappa t}_\infty$, $t \geq 0$ is a representation of the Lévy measure of Z on $(S, n) = (U_+, a\, n_+)$. We will now give a probability measure $n^{(1)}$ equivalent to $a\, n_+$. Let $R(u)$ denote the length of an excursion $u \in U_+$. It is well-known that

$$n_+\{u : R(u) > x\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} x^{-1/2}$$

see, e.g., [18, Ch. 12, Proposition 2.8]. Let $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \mapsto \mathbb{R}_+$ be such that $f(x) = 0$ only for $x = 0$ and

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty f'(x) x^{-1/2}\, dx = 1.$$

Put $n^{(1)}(du) := f(R(u))\, n_+(du)$. Then

$$\int_{U_+} f(R(u))\, n_+(du) = \int_0^\infty f'(x)\, n_+\{u : R(u) > x\}\, dx = 1,$$

so that $n^{(1)}$ is a probability measure such that $g(u) := \frac{dn^{(1)}}{d(a\, n_+)}(u) = a^{-1} f(R(u))$. Now we will apply Theorem 5.1(a). Let $(\xi_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an i.i.d. sequence of random elements in U_+ with the common distribution $f(R)\, dn_+$ and let $(\Gamma_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of partial sums of i.i.d. mean-one exponential random variables independent of the sequence $(\xi_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$. Then

$$Z_t \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{j=1}^\infty L^{\kappa t}_\infty(\xi_j) \mathbf{1}\{f(R(\xi_j)) \leq a\Gamma_j^{-1}\}, \quad t \geq 0$$

in the sense of equality of finite dimensional distributions. By Remark 5.2(b), the convergence holds also a.s. uniformly in t on finite intervals. Let us take $f(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}(x \wedge 1)$ for concreteness. Then the above formula becomes

$$Z_t \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{j=1}^\infty L^{\kappa t}_\infty(\xi_j) \mathbf{1}\{R(\xi_j) \wedge 1 \leq (2/\pi)^{1/2} a\Gamma_j^{-1}\}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

This formula says that a Feller diffusion is the series of randomly trimmed total accumulated local times taken at the level κt, $t \geq 0$ from an infinite sample of Brownian excursions. This sample is taken according to the density $(\pi/2)^{1/2}(R \wedge 1)$ with respect to n_+.

Along similar lines we obtain series representations of squared Bessel process.

Example 5.4 (Squared Bessel processes)**.** Let $Y = (Y_t)_{t\in T}$ be a squared β-dimensional Bessel process starting from 0 and $\beta > 0$, as in Example 2.23. Recall that $V_t = L_\infty^{t-(\cdot)}$, $t \geq 0$ is a representation of the Lévy measure of Y on $(S, n) = (\mathbb{R}_+ \times U_+, \beta\lambda \otimes n_+)$. Recall that $L_\infty^a(u) = 0$ when $a \leq 0$. Let f and R be as in Example 5.3. Put

$$n^{(1)}(dr, du) = (\beta e^{-\beta r} dr) \otimes f(R(u)) n_+(du), \quad r > 0,\ u \in U_+.$$

$n^{(1)}$ is a probability measure equivalent to $\beta\lambda \otimes n_+$. Let $\{\eta_n\}$ be an i.i.d. sequence of exponential random variables with parameter β, let $(\xi_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an i.i.d. sequence of random elements in U_+ with the common distribution $f(R)\, dn_+$ and let $(\Gamma_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of partial sums of i.i.d. mean-one exponential random variables. Assume that these sequences are independent of each other. We compute

$$g(r, u) = \frac{dn^{(1)}}{dn}(dr, du) = e^{-\beta r} f(R(u)).$$

By Theorem 5.1(i) and Remark 5.2(b),

$$Y_t \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} L_\infty^{t-\eta_j}(\xi_j) \mathbf{1}\{e^{-\beta\eta_j} f(R(\xi_j)) \leq \Gamma_j^{-1}\}, \quad t \geq 0$$

in the sense of equality of finite dimensional distributions and the series converges uniformly a.s. Again, choosing a specific f, as at the end of Example 5.3, may give more insight into this representation.

Series representations of Lévy processes have been considered in many places, so we will only sketch representations resulting from Theorem 5.1.

Example 5.5 (Lévy process)**.** Let $Y = (Y_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a Poissonian Lévy process, as in Example 2.22. Then $V_t(r, v) = \mathbf{1}_{\{r\leq t\}} v$ is a representation of the Lévy measure on $(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}, \lambda \otimes \rho)$. Choose an arbitrary probability measure $n^{(1)}$ on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ that is equivalent to $\lambda \otimes \rho$ and put $g(r, v) = \frac{dn^{(1)}}{d(\lambda\otimes\rho)}(r, v)$. Let $\xi_j = (\eta_j, \upsilon_j)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$ be i.i.d. random variables with the common density g with respect to $\lambda \otimes \rho$. Then by Theorem 5.1(ii) and Remark 5.2(b),

$$Y_t \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Big[\mathbf{1}_{\{\eta_j\leq t\}} \upsilon_j \mathbf{1}\{g(\eta_j, \upsilon_j) \leq \Gamma_j^{-1}\} - c_t(j)\Big] + b_t$$

in the sense of equality of finite dimensional distributions and the series converges uniformly almost surely.

Remark 5.6. From Remark 5.2(a) we conclude that in the above three examples, the equalities in distribution can be strengthen to equalities almost sure, provided that the underlying probability space is rich enough.

Now we consider isomorphism identities in the case when the infinitely divisible process is represented by a series. We have the following.

Theorem 5.7. *Under notation of Theorem 5.1, consider a Poissonian infinitely divisible process* $Y = (Y_t)_{t\in T}$ *given series representation* (5.4)

$$Y_t = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Big[V_t(\xi_j)\mathbf{1}\{g(\xi_j) \le \Gamma_j^{-1}\} - c_j(t) \Big] + b(t)\,.$$

Let ξ_0 *be a random variable in* S *independent of* $(\xi_j, \Gamma_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ *such that* $\mathscr{L}(\xi_0) \ll \mathscr{L}(\xi_1)$*. Then for any measurable functional* $F : \mathbb{R}^T \mapsto \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[F\left((V_t(\xi_0) + Y_t)_{t\in T}\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[F\left((Y_t)_{t\in T}\right); Q\right] \tag{5.6}$$

where

$$Q = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q(\xi_j)\mathbf{1}\{g(\xi_j) \le \Gamma_j^{-1}\}\,.$$

Conversely,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[F\left((Y_t)_{t\in T}\right); Q > 0\right] = \mathbb{E}\int_S \Big[F\Big((V_t(s) + Y_t)_{t\in T}\Big); (Q + q(s))^{-1}\Big]\, q(s)\, n(ds)\,.$$

Moreover $Q > 0$ *a.s. provided* $n\{s : q(s) > 0\} = \infty$*.*

6 Proofs

Proof of Lemma 2.3. Clearly, the left hand side is greater or equal than the right hand side in (2.2). To prove the reverse inequality, take $A \in \mathscr{B}^T$. Since

$$\nu_*(A \setminus 0_T) = \sup\{\nu(D) :\ D \subset A \setminus 0_T,\ D \in \mathscr{B}^T\}\,,$$

there exists an $A_0 \in \mathscr{B}^T$ with $A_0 \subset A \setminus 0_T$ such that $\nu(A_0) = \nu_*(A \setminus 0_T)$. From the structure of $\mathscr{B}^T$, $A_0 = \pi_U^{-1}(B)$ for some set $U \in \hat{T}_c$ and $B \in \mathscr{B}^U$, with $0_U \notin B$. Let $J_n \in \hat{T}$ be such that $J_n \uparrow U$. By the continuity of ν from below,

$$\nu_*(A \setminus 0_T) = \nu(A_0) = \nu(A_0 \setminus \pi_U^{-1}(0_U)) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \nu(A_0 \setminus \pi_{J_n}^{-1}(0_{J_n})) \le \sup_{J\in\hat{T}} \nu(A \setminus \pi_J^{-1}(0_J))\,,$$

which establishes (2.2).

Since the measures $\nu(\cdot \setminus \pi_J^{-1}(0_J))$, $J \in \hat{T}$ are increasing as J's are increasing and $\hat{T}$ is a directed set under the inclusion,

$$\nu^0(\cdot) = \sup_{J\in\hat{T}} \nu(\cdot \setminus \pi_J^{-1}(0_J))$$

is a measure. If $0_T \notin A \in \mathscr{B}^T$, then

$$\nu^0(A) = \nu_*(A \setminus 0_T) = \nu_*(A) = \nu(A),$$

which gives (2.3). Using (2.2)-(2.3) we get for every $A \in \mathscr{B}^T$

$$(\nu^0)_*(A \setminus 0_T) = \sup_{J \in \hat{T}} \nu^0(A \setminus \pi_J^{-1}(0_J)) = \sup_{J \in \hat{T}} \nu(A \setminus \pi_J^{-1}(0_J)) = \nu^0(A),$$

which establishes (L2). Finally, if ν satisfies (L1), then so does ν^0. □

Proof of Lemma 2.4. (L2) ⇒ (a). Let $T_0 \in \hat{T}_c$. By (L2) and (2.2) there exists an increasing sequence $J_n \in \hat{T}$ such that

$$\nu\{x : x_{T_0} = 0\} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \nu\{x : x_{T_0} = 0,\ x_{J_n} \neq 0\}.$$

Therefore, $T_1 = \bigcup J_n$ satisfies (a).

(a) ⇒ (b). Let J_n be as above. (a) implies that for some n, $\nu\{x : x_{T_0} = 0,\ x_{J_n} \neq 0\} > 0$. Hence (2.4) holds for some $t \in J_n$.

(b) ⇒ (c). If (2.1) and (2.4) do not hold, then we have a contradiction with (b).

(c) ⇒ (L2). Since by Remark 2.2, (2.1) implies (L2), we only need to consider the second part of the alternative in (c); i.e., we assume that (2.4) holds for any set $T_0 \in \hat{T}_c$. Let $A \in \mathscr{B}^T$. (L2) obviously holds when $0_T \notin A$, so we consider the case $O_T \in A$. Using (2.2), as in the first implication of this proof, we infer that $\nu_*(A \setminus 0_T) = \nu(A \setminus \{x : x_{T_1} = 0\})$ for some $T_1 \in \hat{T}_c$. There is also a countable set $T_0 \supset T_1$ and $B \in \mathscr{B}^{T_0}$ such that $A = \{x : x_{T_0} \in B\}$. Let $t \notin T_0$ be such that $\nu\{x : x_{T_0} = 0,\ x(t) \neq 0\} = \alpha > 0$. Since $\nu_*(A \setminus 0_T) = \nu(A \setminus \{x : x_{T_1} = 0\})$ holds also for any larger set in place of T_1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu_*(A \setminus 0_T) &= \nu(A \setminus \{x : x_{T_0 \cup \{t\}} = 0\}) \\
&= \nu(A \setminus \{x : x_{T_0} = 0\}) + \nu(A \cap \{x : x_{T_0} = 0\} \cap \{x : x(t) \neq 0\}) \\
&= \nu(A \setminus \{x : x_{T_0} = 0\}) + \nu(\{x : x_{T_0} = 0,\ x(t) \neq 0\}) \\
&= \nu_*(A \setminus 0_T) + \alpha
\end{aligned}$$

where in the third equality we used that $0_T \in A$. The above computation shows that $\nu_*(A \setminus 0_T) = \infty$, in which case (L2) trivially holds. The proof is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 2.7. The proof of Theorem 2.7 is divided into four steps.

Step 1. Let $J \in \hat{T}$ and $\epsilon > 0$ be fixed. Set $U = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^J : \max_{t \in J} |y_t| > \epsilon\}$ and define a family of measures $\lambda_I^{J,\epsilon}$ on $(\mathbb{R}^I, \mathscr{B}^I)$ by

$$\lambda_I^{J,\epsilon}(B) = \nu_K\left(\pi_{KI}^{-1}(B) \cap \pi_{KJ}^{-1}(U)\right), \quad B \in \mathscr{B}^I, \tag{6.1}$$

where $I \in \hat{T}$ and $K = I \cup J$. We will show that there exists a finite measure $\lambda^{J,\epsilon}$ on $(\mathbb{R}^T, \mathscr{B}^T)$ such that

$$\lambda^{J,\epsilon} \circ \pi_I^{-1} = \lambda_I^{J,\epsilon} \quad \textit{for all } I \in \hat{T}. \tag{6.2}$$

First observe that all measures $\lambda_I^{J,\epsilon}$, $I \in \hat{T}$, have equal finite mass. Indeed, by (c3) and the fact that ν_J is a Lévy measure on $\mathbb{R}^J$

$$\lambda_I^{J,\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^I) = \nu_K\left(\pi_{KJ}^{-1}(U)\right) = \nu_J(U) < \infty.$$

Next we will show that $\{\lambda_I^{J,\epsilon} : I \in \hat{T}\}$ is a projective system. Take $I_1 \subset I_2 \in \hat{T}$ and put $K_1 = J \cup I_1$, $K_2 = J \cup I_2$. We have for every $B \in \mathscr{B}^{I_1}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{I_2}^{J,\epsilon}\left(\pi_{I_2,I_1}^{-1}(B)\right) &= \nu_{K_2}\left(\pi_{K_2,I_2}^{-1}(\pi_{I_2,I_1}^{-1}(B)) \cap \pi_{K_2,J}^{-1}(U)\right) \\
&= \nu_{K_2}\left(\pi_{K_2,I_1}^{-1}(B) \cap \pi_{K_2,J}^{-1}(U)\right) \\
&= \nu_{K_2}\left(\pi_{K_2,K_1}^{-1}\left(\pi_{K_1,I_1}^{-1}(B) \cap \pi_{K_1,J}^{-1}(U)\right)\right) \\
&= \nu_{K_1}\left(\pi_{K_1,I_1}^{-1}(B) \cap \pi_{K_1,J}^{-1}(U)\right) = \lambda_{I_1}^{J,\epsilon}(B).
\end{aligned}$$

In the fourth equality we used (c3) as $\pi_{K_1,I_1}^{-1}(B) \cap \pi_{K_1,J}^{-1}(U)$ does not contain the origin of $\mathbb{R}^{K_1}$. By Kolmogorov's Extension Theorem there exists a finite measure $\lambda^{J,\epsilon}$ on $(\mathbb{R}^T, \mathscr{B}^T)$ satisfying (6.2).

Step 2. Define

$$\nu(A) = \sup_{J \in \hat{T},\, \epsilon > 0} \lambda^{J,\epsilon}(A), \quad A \in \mathscr{B}^T.$$

Then ν is a measure satisfying (2.7).

First we observe from (6.1) that for every $I \in \hat{T}$, $\lambda_I^{J_1,\epsilon_1} \leq \lambda_I^{J_2,\epsilon_2}$ whenever $J_1 \subset J_2$ and $\epsilon_1 \geq \epsilon_2$. This implies, in conjunction with (6.2), that $\lambda^{J_1,\epsilon_1}(A) \leq \lambda^{J_2,\epsilon_2}(A)$ for every A from the algebra of cylinders, $A \in \pi_I^{-1}(\mathscr{B}^I)$, $I \in \hat{T}$. By the monotone class argument we obtain

$$\lambda^{J_1,\epsilon_1} \leq \lambda^{J_2,\epsilon_2} \quad \text{when } J_1 \subset J_2 \text{ and } \epsilon_1 \geq \epsilon_2. \tag{6.3}$$

We will now check that ν is a measure. For any pairwise disjoint sets $A_n \in \mathscr{B}^T$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) &= \sup_{J \in \hat{T},\, \epsilon > 0} \lambda^{J,\epsilon}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \sup_{J \in \hat{T},\, \epsilon > 0} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda^{J,\epsilon}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_i\right) \\
&= \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{J \in \hat{T},\, \epsilon > 0} \lambda^{J,\epsilon}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_i\right) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{J \in \hat{T},\, \epsilon > 0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda^{J,\epsilon}\left(A_i\right) \\
&= \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sup_{J \in \hat{T},\, \epsilon > 0} \lambda^{J,\epsilon}\left(A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu\left(A_i\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where the fifth equality uses (6.3). Now we will show that measure ν satisfies (2.7). Let $I \in \hat{T}$ and $B \in \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}^I)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu(\pi_I^{-1}(B \setminus 0_I)) &\geq \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \lambda^{I,\epsilon}(\pi_I^{-1}(B \setminus 0_I)) \\
&= \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \nu_I(B \cap \{y \in \mathbb{R}^I : \max_{t \in I} |y_t| > \epsilon\}) = \nu_I(B),
\end{aligned}$$

and, conversely,

$$\nu_I(B) = \nu_I(B \setminus 0_I) \geq \lambda_I^{J,\epsilon}(B \setminus 0_I) = \lambda^{J,\epsilon}(\pi_I^{-1}(B \setminus 0_I))$$

for every $J \in \hat{T}$. Taking supremum over (J, ϵ) shows (2.7), which completes Step 2.

Step 3. ν is a Lévy measure.

We need to show (L2). In view of (2.2) it is enough to show that

$$\nu(A) = \sup_{J \in \hat{T}} \nu(A \setminus \pi_J^{-1}(0_J)) \quad \text{for every } A \in \mathscr{B}^T. \tag{6.4}$$

First we will show that for any $J \in \hat{T}$ and $\epsilon > 0$

$$\sup_{H \in \hat{T}} \lambda^{J,\epsilon}(A \setminus \pi_H^{-1}(0_H)) = \lambda^{J,\epsilon}(A), \quad \text{for every } A \in \mathscr{B}^T. \tag{6.5}$$

By an argument similar to the proof of countable additivity of ν in the previous step, we infer that $A \mapsto \sup_{H \in \hat{T}} \lambda^{J,\epsilon}(A \setminus \pi_H^{-1}(0_H))$ is a finite measure on $\mathscr{B}^T$. Therefore, it is enough to show the equality in (6.5) on the algebra of cylinders. Since "$\leq$" is obvious, we will prove the opposite inequality. Let $A = \pi_I^{-1}(B)$, where $I \in \hat{T}$ and $B \in \mathscr{B}^I$, and let $K = I \cup J$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{H \in \hat{T}} \lambda^{J,\epsilon}(A \setminus \pi_H^{-1}(0_H)) &\geq \lambda^{J,\epsilon}(A \setminus \pi_K^{-1}(0_K)) = \lambda^{J,\epsilon}(\pi_K^{-1}(\pi_{KI}^{-1}(B) \setminus 0_K)) \\
&= \lambda_K^{J,\epsilon}(\pi_{KI}^{-1}(B) \setminus 0_K) = \lambda_K^{J,\epsilon}(\pi_{KI}^{-1}(B)) \\
&= \lambda^{J,\epsilon}(\pi_K^{-1}(\pi_{KI}^{-1}(B))) = \lambda^{J,\epsilon}(A)
\end{aligned}$$

The second and the fifth equations use (6.2), and the third one follows from the definition of $\lambda_K^{J,\epsilon}$ and that $0_K \in \{y \in \mathbb{R}^K : \max_{t \in J} |y_t| \leq \epsilon\}$. This proves (6.5). Taking supremum over (J, ϵ) in (6.5) yields (6.4).

Step 4. ν is the smallest measure satisfying (2.7)*, so is unique.*

Suppose that ρ also satisfies (2.7). Let $A = \pi_I^{-1}(B)$, where $I \in \hat{T}$ and $B \in \mathscr{B}^I$. Using (L2) and (2.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu(A) = \nu_*(A \setminus 0_T) &= \sup_{J \in \hat{T}} \nu(A \setminus \pi_J^{-1}(0_J)) = \sup_{J \in \hat{T},\, J \supset I} \nu(\pi_J^{-1}(\pi_{IJ}^{-1}(B) \setminus 0_J)) \\
&= \sup_{J \in \hat{T},\, J \supset I} \rho(\pi_J^{-1}(\pi_{IJ}^{-1}(B) \setminus 0_J)) \leq \rho(A).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\nu \leq \rho$ on the algebra of cylinders. By the monotone class argument $\nu \leq \rho$. The proof is complete. □

Proof of Proposition 2.9. The integral in (2.12) is well-defined by (L1). Given $J \in \hat{T}$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}^J$, let $f(x) := \sum_{t \in J} a_t x(t)$. Then

$$\sum_{t \in J} a_t \left(\widetilde{Y}_t - b(t)\right) = \sum_{t \in J} a_t I_N(x(t)) = I_N(f) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^T} \sum_{t \in J} a_t x(t) [\chi(f(x)) - \chi(x(t))]\, \nu(dx).$$

Using (2.11) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\log \mathbb{E} \exp\left(i \sum_{t\in J} a_t \left(\widetilde{Y}_t - b(t) \right) \right) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^T} \left(e^{if(x)} - 1 - if(x)\chi(f(x)) \right) \nu(dx) \\ &\quad + i \int_{\mathbb{R}^T} \sum_{t\in J} a_t x(t) [\chi(f(x)) - \chi(x(t))] \, \nu(dx) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^T} \left(e^{if(x)} - 1 - i \sum_{t\in J} a_t x(t) \chi(x(t)) \right) \nu(dx) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^T} \left(e^{i\langle a, x_J\rangle} - 1 - i\langle a, [\![x_J]\!] \rangle \right) \nu(dx) \, ,\end{aligned}$$

which gives (2.6). Therefore, $\widetilde{Y}$ is a version of Y. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.12. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). There is a set $A \in \mathscr{B}^T$ such that $\nu(A) < \infty$ and $0_T \in A$. Then by (2.2)

$$\nu(A) = \nu_*(A \setminus 0_T) = \sup_{J\in\hat{T}} \nu(A \setminus \pi_J^{-1}(0_J)) = \sup_{J\in\hat{T}} \left(\nu(A) - \nu(A \cap \pi_J^{-1}(0_J)) \right).$$

Hence $\inf_{J\in\hat{T}} \nu(A \cap \pi_J^{-1}(0_J)) = 0$ and $0_T \in A \cap \pi_J^{-1}(0_J)$, which gives (ii).

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Let $A_n \in \mathscr{B}^T$ be such that $0_T \in A_n$ and $\nu(A_n) < n^{-1}$. There exist $T_n \in \hat{T}_c$ and $B_n \in \mathscr{B}^{T_n}$, with $0_{T_n} \in B_n$, such that $A_n = \{x : x_{T_n} \in B_n\}$. Let $T_0 = \bigcup_{n\geq 1} T_n$ and $A_0 = \{x : x_{T_0} = 0_{T_0}\}$. Since $A_0 \subset A_n$ for every $n \geq 1$, we get $\nu(A_0) = 0$, which proves (iii).

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Let $A_0 := \{x : x_{T_0} = 0\}$. By the assumption $\nu(A_0) = 0$. Enumerate T_0 as $T_0 = \{t_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. For every $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ set

$$A_{k,n} := \{x : |x(t_k)| \geq n^{-1}\}.$$

$$\nu(A_{k,n}) = \nu\{x : |x(t_k)| \wedge 1 \geq n^{-1}\} \leq n^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^T} |x(t_k)|^2 \wedge 1 \, \nu(dx) < \infty.$$

Since $A_0 \cup \bigcup_{k,n\in\mathbb{N}} A_{k,n} = \mathbb{R}^T$, (i) holds. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.14. Assume that ν is not σ-finite. Let $\widetilde{Y}$ be the canonical spectral representation of Y. That is,

$$\widetilde{Y}_t = \int_{\{x(t)\neq 0\}} x(t) \, [N(dx) - \chi(x(t)) \, \nu(dx)] + b(t), \quad t \in T$$

where N is a Poisson random measure on $\mathbb{R}^T$ with intensity ν. Let $T_0 \in \hat{T}_c$. By Corollary 2.13 there is $t_1 \notin T_0$ such that $\nu(A) > 0$, where

$$A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^T : x_{T_0} = 0, \, x(t_1) \neq 0\}.$$

Define

$$\eta = \int_A x(t_1) \, [N(dx) - \chi(x(t_1)) \, \nu(dx)] \quad \text{and} \quad \xi = \int_B x(t_1) \, [N(dx) - \chi(x(t_1)) \, \nu(dx)] + b(t_1),$$

where $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^T : x_{T_0} \neq 0,\, x(t_1) \neq 0\}$. Clearly conditions (a)–(c) hold.

Conversely, suppose (a)–(c) hold for some version $\widetilde{Y}$ of Y. Let $T_1 = T_0 \cup \{t_1\}$ and $U = (U_t : t \in T_1)$ be given by $U_t = \widetilde{Y}_t$ when $t \in T_0$ and $U_{t_1} = \xi$; define also $V = (V_t : t \in T_1)$ by $V_{T_0} = 0$ and $V_{t_1} = \eta$. Then $\widetilde{Y}_{T_1} = U + V$ and processes U and V are independent. Let $\nu_{\widetilde{Y}_{T_1}}$, ν_U and ν_V be Lévy measures on $(\mathbb{R}^{T_1}, \mathscr{B}^{T_1})$ of $\widetilde{Y}_{T_1}$, U and V, respectively. We have $\nu_{\widetilde{Y}_{T_1}} = \nu_U + \nu_V$. Moreover, ν_V is concentrated on the t_1-axis, i.e. $\nu_V = \delta_{0_{T_0}} \otimes \nu_\eta$ with ν_η being a Lévy measure of η. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\nu\{x \in \mathbb{R}^T : x_{T_0} = 0,\, x(t_1) \neq 0\} &= \nu\left(\pi_{T_1}^{-1}\left(0_{T_0} \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})\right)\right) \\ &= \nu_{\widetilde{Y}_{T_1}}\left(0_{T_0} \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})\right) \geq \nu_V\left(0_{T_0} \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})\right) = \nu_\eta(\mathbb{R}) > 0\end{aligned}$$

since η is non-degenerate. By Corollary 2.13 ν is not σ-finite, which completes the proof. □

Proof of Lemma 2.20. Let V be as in Definition 2.19. Let $f : S \mapsto (0, \infty)$ be a measurable function such that $\int_S f(s)\, n(ds) < \infty$ and let $n_1(ds) := f(s)n(ds)$ be a finite measure on S. Put

$$\alpha = \inf_{J \in \hat{T}} n_1\{s \in S : V_J(s) = 0\}.$$

Then $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$ and there is $T_0 \in \hat{T}_c$ such that $\alpha = n_1\{s \in S : V_{T_0}(s) = 0\}$.

Let $B \in \mathscr{B}_0^I$, $I \in \hat{T}$, and let $T_1 = T_0 \cup I$. Since $\{V_I \in B\} \subset \{V_{T_1} \neq 0\}$ and by the extremity of T_0, $n_1\{V_{T_0} = 0,\, V_{T_1} \neq 0\} = 0$ so that $n\{V_{T_0} = 0,\, V_{T_1} \neq 0\} = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_I(B) &= n\{V_I \in B\} = n\{V_I \in B,\, V_{T_1} \neq 0\} \\ &= n\{V_I \in B,\, V_{T_0} = 0, V_{T_1} \neq 0\} + n\{V_I \in B,\, V_{T_0} \neq 0, V_{T_1} \neq 0\} \\ &= n\{V_I \in B,\, V_{T_0} \neq 0\}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, V restricted to $S_0 = \{s \in S : V_{T_0}(s) \neq 0\}$ is a representation of ν and is exact because it satisfies (2.1) (which implies (L2)). □

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Consider on some probability space $(\Omega', \mathscr{F}', \mathbb{P}')$ mutually independent centered Gaussian process G' over T with covariance Σ and a Poisson random measure N' on $(S, \mathscr{S})$ with intensity n. By Proposition 3.1 (with $G = G'$ and $N = N'$), we have $X \stackrel{d}{=} X' = G' + Y'$, where Y' is the Poissonian part in (3.1). Now we restrict the index set to T_0 and write (3.1) as

$$X_{T_0} \stackrel{d}{=} G'_{T_0} + Y'_{T_0} = f(G'_{T_0}, N'_{\mathscr{S}_0})$$

where $N'_{\mathscr{S}_0}$ is the restriction of N' to a countable algebra $\mathscr{S}_0$ that generates $\mathscr{S}$ modulo n. Indeed, since the stochastic integral with respect to N' is a limit of integrals of simple functions and N' on $\mathscr{S}$ can be approximated by N' on $\mathscr{S}_0$, the right hand side of (3.1) (restricted to T_0) can be represented as a Borel function f of a random element $(G'_{T_0}, N'_{\mathscr{S}_0})$ taking values in a Polish space $\mathbb{R}^{T_0} \times [0, \infty]^{\mathscr{S}_0}$. By [10, Corollary 6.11] there exists a random element $((G_t)_{t \in T_0}, (N(A))_{A \in \mathscr{S}_0})$ on the original probability space of X such that

$$X_{T_0} = f((G_t)_{t \in T_0}, (N(A))_{A \in \mathscr{S}_0}) \quad a.s. \tag{6.6}$$

and $((G_t)_{t\in T_0}, (N(A))_{A\in\mathscr{S}_0}) \stackrel{d}{=} (G'_{T_0}, N'_{\mathscr{S}_0})$. Since $(N(A))_{A\in\mathscr{S}_0}$ is a Poisson random measure on the algebra $\mathscr{S}_0$, independent of $(G_t)_{t\in T_0}$, it extends uniquely to a Poisson random measure N on $(S, \mathscr{S}, n)$, which is also independent of $(G_t)_{t\in T_0}$. Therefore, (6.6) establishes (3.2) for $t \in T_0$ (see [17, Theorem 5.2] for more details).

Since X is separable in probability, for every $t \in T$ there exists $\tau_n \in T_0$ such that $X_{\tau_n} \stackrel{P}{\to} X_t$. By a symmetrization inequality, $G_t := \lim_{n\to\infty} G_{\tau_n}$ exists in probability and $G = \{G_t\}_{t\in T}$ is independent of N. Having G and N constructed, we use Proposition 3.1 again to state that

$$X''_t := G_t + \int_S V_t \Big(dN - \chi(V_t)\, dn\Big) + b(t), \quad t \in T$$

is a version of X. Since $X_t = X''_t$ a.s. for each $t \in T_0$, and T_0 is a common separant for both X and X'', we get $X_t = X''_t$ a.s. for all $t \in T$. This establishes (3.2) and completes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.4. Let $f : S \mapsto (0, 1]$ be a measurable function such that $\int_S f(s)\, n(ds) < \infty$. Consider a finite measure $n_0(ds) := f(s)n(ds)$ on S. Let $\xi^k = (\xi^k_t)_{t\in T}$ be an i.i.d. sequence of processes over T with the common distribution $\theta^{-1}n_0 \circ V^{-1}$, where $\theta = n_0(S)$, so that

$$n_0 \circ V^{-1}(B) = \theta\mathbb{P}(\xi^k \in B), \quad B \in \mathscr{B}^T.$$

Let $Y_t := \sum_{k=1}^{\eta} \xi^k_t$ be a compound Poisson process, where η is a Poisson random variable with mean θ and independent of $\{\xi^k\}$. Put $\nu_0 := n_0 \circ V^{-1}$ and notice that for every $B \in \mathscr{B}^T$

$$\nu_0(B) = \int_S \mathbf{1}_B(V(s))f(s)\, n(dx) \le \int_S \mathbf{1}_B(V(s))\, n(dx) = \nu(B).$$

Therefore, ν_0 is equivalent to ν and $\nu_0 \le \nu$. Since ν is σ-finite, ν satisfies condition (2.1), so does ν_0. Therefore, ν_0 is a Lévy measure of Y (see Example 2.25). Suppose that the process X has the generating triplet (Σ, ν, b). Let $Z = (Z_t)_{t\in T}$ be an infinitely divisible process independent of $\{\eta, \xi^k_t : t \in T,\ k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and with the generating triplet $(\Sigma, \nu - \nu_0, c)$, where c is a shift function such that

$$X \stackrel{d}{=} Y + Z.$$

By Lemma 3.5 given below, there exists $\widetilde{X}$ with all paths in U such that $\widetilde{X}_t = Y_t + Z_t$ a.s. for each $t \in T$. We will now check that Z satisfies (3.3). For every $A \subset \mathbb{R}^T \setminus U$, $A \in \mathscr{B}^T$ we get

$$\mathbb{P}(Z \in A) = e^{\theta}\mathbb{P}(Z \in A,\, \eta = 0) = e^{\theta}\mathbb{P}(Y + Z \in A,\, \eta = 0) \le e^{\theta}\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{X} \in A) = 0.$$

Thus, there exists $\widetilde{Z}$ with all paths in U such that $\widetilde{Z}_t = Z_t$ a.s. for each $t \in T$. Hence, by our assumption on U, $\widetilde{Y} := \widetilde{X} - \widetilde{Z}$ is a modification of Y with all paths in U. Consider the representation V as a stochastic process under the probability measure $\theta^{-1}n_0$, so we have $V \stackrel{d}{=} \xi^1$. For any set A as above we have

$$\theta^{-1}n_0(V \in A) = \mathbb{P}(\xi^1 \in A) = \theta^{-1}e^{\theta}\mathbb{P}(\xi^1 \in A,\, \eta = 1) = \theta^{-1}e^{\theta}\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{Y} \in A,\, \eta = 1) = 0.$$

By Lemma 3.5 there exists a process $\widetilde{V} = (\widetilde{V}_t)_{t\in T}$ with all paths in U such that

$$\theta^{-1}n_0\{s : \widetilde{V}_t(s) \neq V_t(s)\} = 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in T.$$

Since the measures n and $\theta^{-1}n_0$ are equivalent, this proof is complete. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 3.5. The necessity of (3.3) is obvious, so we will prove its sufficiency. Define for every $B \in \mathscr{U}$

$$\mu(B) := \mathbb{P}(X \in A), \quad \text{when } A \cap U = B,\ A \in \mathscr{B}^T.$$

It is routine to check that under (3.3) μ is a well-defined probability measure on $(U, \mathscr{U})$. Let $Y_t(u) := u(t)$, $t \in T$, $u \in U$. Then $Y = (Y_t)_{t\in T}$ is a stochastic process defined on $(U, \mathscr{U}, \mu)$ with paths in U. For every $A \in \mathscr{B}^T$

$$\mu(Y \in A) = \mu(Y \in A \cap U) = \mathbb{P}(X \in A),$$

so that $Y \stackrel{d}{=} X$. By [10, Lemma 3.24], X has a modification $\widetilde{X}$ whose paths lie in U such that $\widetilde{X}_t = X_t$ a.s. for each $t \in T$. $\square$

Below we give the proofs of Theorems 4.1, 4.3, and 4.4 in the order they should be read instead of the numerical order.

Proof of Theorem 4.4. Notice that $\mathbb{E}N(q) = \int_S q\,dn = 1$. Let $Y = X - G$ be the Poissonian part of X. It is enough to prove (4.7) for F of the form $F(x) = \exp\{i\sum_{j=1}^n a_j x(t_j)\}$, where $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$, $t_1, \ldots, t_n \in T$, $n \geq 1$. We have $F(X) = F(G)F(Y)$, where

$$F(Y) = \exp\left\{ i\sum_{j=1}^n a_j \left[\int_S V_{t_j} \Big(dN - \chi(V_{t_j})\, dn \Big) + b(t_j) \right] \right\} = H(N)$$

Here $N = (N(A))_{A\in\mathscr{S}}$ is viewed as a stochastic process and $H : \mathbb{R}^{\mathscr{S}} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is a measurable functional. Using the independence and Mecke-Palm formula we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[F(X)N(q)] &= \mathbb{E}[F(G)H(N)N(q)] = \mathbb{E}[F(G)]\,\mathbb{E}[H(N)N(q)] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[F(G)]\,\mathbb{E}\int_S q(s)H(N)\,N(ds) \\
&= \mathbb{E}[F(G)]\int_S \mathbb{E}\left[q(s)H(N+\delta_s)\right]\,n(ds) \\
&= \mathbb{E}[F(G)]\int_S \mathbb{E}\left[q(s)F(V(s))H(N)\right]\,n(ds) \\
&= \mathbb{E}[F(G)]\,\mathbb{E}[H(N)]\int_S F(V(s))\,q(s)\,n(ds) \\
&= \mathbb{E}[F(X)]\int_S F(V(s))\,q(s)\,n(ds) \\
&= \int_S \mathbb{E}F(X+V(s))\;q(s)\,n(ds)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

This establishes (4.7).

To prove (4.8) notice that X and $N(q)$ are jointly infinitely divisible. Let θ be an isolated point of T and put $T_\theta = T \cup \{\theta\}$. Consider an infinitely divisible process $\bar{X} = (\bar{X}_t)_{t\in T_\theta}$ given by

$$\bar{X}_t = \begin{cases} X_t & t \in T \\ N(q) & t = \theta. \end{cases}$$

The Lévy measure of $\bar{X}$ has a representation $\bar{V}$ on $(S, \mathscr{S}, n)$ of the form

$$\bar{V}_t = \begin{cases} V_t & t \in T \\ q & t = \theta. \end{cases}$$

Let $H : \mathbb{R}^{T_\theta} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be given by $H(x) = F(x_T)\frac{1}{x_\theta}\mathbf{1}(x_\theta > 0)$, $x \in T_\theta$, where F is as above. Applying (4.7) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[F\left((X_t)_{t\in T}\right); N(q) > 0] &= \mathbb{E}[H\left(\left(\bar{X}_t\right)_{t\in T_\theta}\right); N(q)] \\
&= \int_S \mathbb{E}H\left(\left(\bar{X}_t + \bar{V}_t(s)\right)_{t\in T_\theta}\right) q(s)\, n(ds) \\
&= \int_S \mathbb{E}F\left((X_t + V_t(s))_{t\in T}\right) \frac{\mathbf{1}(N(q) + q(s) > 0)}{N(q) + q(s)}\, q(s)\, n(ds) \\
&= \int_S \mathbb{E}\Big[F\left((X_t + V_t(s))_{t\in T}\right); (N(q) + q(s))^{-1}\Big]\, q(s)\, n(ds)\,,
\end{aligned}$$

which shows (4.8).

The last formula (4.9) follows from the previous (4.8) since

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(N(q) > 0) &= 1 - \lim_{u\to\infty} \mathbb{E}e^{-uN(q)} = 1 - \lim_{u\to\infty} \exp\left(\int_S [e^{-uq(s)} - 1]\, n(ds)\right) \\
&= 1 - \exp\left(-n\{q(s) > 0\}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

The proof is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 4.3. First we will show that part **(a)** follows from part **(b)** of this theorem. Indeed, suppose $\mathscr{L}(Z) \ll \nu$, so that $\mathscr{L}(Z) \ll \nu + \delta_{0_T}$. Let $q = \frac{d\mathscr{L}(Z)}{d(\nu+\delta_{0_T})}$. Since ν is σ-finite, $\nu\{x : x_{T_0} = 0\} = 0$ for some $T_0 \in \hat{T}_c$, so that $\mathbb{P}(Z_{T_0} = 0) = 0$. We have

$$0 = \mathbb{P}(Z_{T_0} = 0) = \int_{\{x:x_{T_0}=0\}} q(x)\, \nu(dx) + q(0_T)\delta_{0_T}(\{x : x_{T_0} = 0\}) = q(0_T).$$

Hence $q(0_T) = 0$, in which case (4.5) becomes (4.3) and (4.6) becomes (4.4). Therefore, we only need to prove **(b)**.

Let $S = \mathbb{R}^T$ and $\mathscr{S} = \mathscr{B}^T$. Consider $N_1 = N + \eta\delta_{0_T}$, where η is a Poisson random variable with mean 1 independent of N and G. N_1 is a Poisson random measure on $(S, \mathscr{S})$

with intensity $n_1 = \nu + \delta_{0_T}$. Notice that (4.2) still holds after replacing N by N_1 and ν by n_1. Therefore, $V_t(x) = x(t)$ is a representation of ν on $(S, \mathscr{S}, n_1)$. Using Theorem 4.4 we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}F\left((X_t + Z_t)_{t\in T}\right) &= \int_S \mathbb{E}F\left((X_t + x(t))_{t\in T}\right)\, q(x)\, n(dx)\\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[F\left((X_t)_{t\in T}\right);\, N_1(q)\right]\\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[F\left((X_t)_{t\in T}\right);\, N(q) + \eta q(0_T)\right]\\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[F\left((X_t)_{t\in T}\right);\, N(q)\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[F\left((X_t)_{t\in T}\right)\right]\, q(0_T)\,,\end{aligned}$$

by the independence of N, G and η, and $\mathbb{E}\eta = 1$. This proves (4.5).

Since $\mathscr{L}(Z) \ll n_r := \nu + r\delta_{0_T}$ for every $r > 0$, we may consider $q_r := \frac{d\mathscr{L}(Z)}{dn_r}$, so that $q_1 = q$. Because ν and δ_{0_T} are singular, $q_r(0_T) = r^{-1}q(0_T)$ and $q_r = q$ ν-a.e. Therefore, we can take $q_r = q\mathbf{1}_{U^c} + r^{-1}q(0_T)\mathbf{1}_U$ as a version of q_r, where U is any set such that $0_T \in U \in \mathscr{B}^T$ and $\nu(U) = 0$.

Let η_r be a Poisson random variable with mean r, independent of N and G, and let $N_r = N + \eta_r\delta_{0_T}$. By the same argument as above, with n_1 replaced by n_r and N_1 replaced by N_r, we use (4.8) of Theorem 4.4 to get

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left[F\left((X_t)_{t\in T}\right);\, N_r(q_r) > 0\right]\\ &\qquad = \mathbb{E}\left[F\left((X_t + Z_t)_{t\in T}\right)\left(N_r(q_r) + q_r(Z)\right)^{-1}\right]\end{aligned}$$

which can written as

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left[F\left((X_t)_{t\in T}\right);\, N(q) + r^{-1}\eta_r q(0_T) > 0\right]\\ &\qquad = \mathbb{E}\left[F\left((X_t + Z_t)_{t\in T}\right)\left(N(q) + r^{-1}\eta_r q(0_T) + q(Z)\mathbf{1}_{U^c}(Z) + r^{-1}q(0_T)\mathbf{1}_U(Z)\right)^{-1}\right].\end{aligned}$$

Letting $r \to \infty$, and using that $r^{-1}\eta_r \xrightarrow{P} 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[F\left((X_t)_{t\in T}\right)\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[F\left((X_t)_{t\in T}\right);\, N(q) + q(0_T) > 0\right]\\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[F\left((X_t + Z_t)_{t\in T}\right)\left(N(q) + q(0_T) + q(Z)\mathbf{1}_{U^c}(Z)\right)^{-1}\right]\\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[F\left((X_t + Z_t)_{t\in T}\right)\left(N(q) + q(Z) + q(0_T)\mathbf{1}_{U^c}(Z)\right)^{-1}\right]\end{aligned}$$

because $q(Z)\mathbf{1}_U(Z) = q(0_T)\mathbf{1}_U(Z)$ a.s.

Finally, $\mathscr{L}(X+Z) \ll \mathscr{L}(X)$ follows from (4.3). Conversely, notice that $N(q)+q(0_T) > 0$ a.s. if either $q(0_T) > 0$ or, by (6.7), $\nu\{x : q(x) > 0\} = \infty$. In these cases (4.4) gives $\mathscr{L}(X) \ll \mathscr{L}(X + Z)$. The proof is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 4.1: Without loss of generality, we may assume that $X = G + Y$, where G is s centered Gaussian process, Y is the Poissonian part of X given by a canonical spectral representation (4.2) relative to a Poisson random measure N, where G, N, and Z are independent. By Theorem 4.3,

$$\mathbb{E}F\left((X_t + Z_t)_{t\in T}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left[F\left((X_t)_{t\in T}\right);\, N(q)\right]\,,$$

which implies

$$\mathscr{L}(X+Z) \ll \mathscr{L}(X).$$

Therefore $\mathbb{E}F\left((X_t+Z_t)_{t\in T}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left[F\left((X_t)_{t\in T}\right);\, g(X)\right]$, where $g(x) = \frac{d\mathscr{L}(X+Z)}{d\mathscr{L}(X)}(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^T$. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 4.10. Let X be determined by (4.14), where we take $[\![v]\!] = v\mathbf{1}_{[-1,1]}(v)$ for concreteness. Given $h > 0$, let $F : \mathbb{R}^{[0,h]} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $F(x) = f(x(h))$. By (4.15) we have

$$h^{-1}\mathbb{E}[f(X_h); W_h > 0] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}\Big[f\left(X_h+v\right);\, \left(W_h + q_1(v)\right)^{-1}\Big]\, q_1(v)\, \rho(dv)\,.$$

Choose $q_1 = m^{-1}\mathbf{1}_{\{|v|>\delta\}}$, where $\delta \in (0,1)$ is fixed and $m := \rho\{|v| > \delta\} > 0$. Then we have

$$h^{-1}\mathbb{E}[f(X_h)] = h^{-1}\mathbb{E}[f(X_h); W_h = 0] + \int_{|v|>\delta} \mathbb{E}\Big[f\left(X_h+v\right);\, \left(mW_h+1\right)^{-1}\Big]\, \rho(dv)\,.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
|h^{-1}\mathbb{E}[f(X_h)] - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f\left(v\right)\, \rho(dv)| &\le h^{-1}|\mathbb{E}[f(X_h); W_h = 0]| + \int_{|v|\le\delta} |f\left(v\right)|\, \rho(dv) \\
&\quad + \int_{\{|v|>\delta\}} \mathbb{E}\Big|f\left(X_h+v\right)\left(mW_h+1\right)^{-1} - f(v)\Big|\, \rho(dv) \\
&:= K_1(h,\delta) + K_2(\delta) + K_3(h,\delta)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that if $W_h = 0$, then $X_h = X_h^\delta$, where $X_h^\delta = X_h - \sum_{s\le h} \Delta X_s \mathbf{1}(|\Delta X_s| > \delta)$. X_h^δ is a Lévy process with variance σ^2 of its Brownian motion component $\{G_t\}$, Lévy measure $\rho = \rho_{|\{|x|\le\delta\}}$, and the shift $c^\delta = c - \int_{\delta<|x|\le 1} x\, \rho(dx)$, so that $\mathbb{E}X_h^\delta = c^\delta h$. Put $Y_h^\delta = X_h^\delta - G_h$. By the assumption, $|f(x)| \le x^2 k(x)$, where $0 \le k(x) \le C$ is a bounded function with $\lim_{x\to 0} k(x) = 0$, or only a bounded function when $\sigma^2 = 0$. We get

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1(h,\delta) &\le h^{-1}\mathbb{E}\left(|X_h^\delta|^2 k(X_h^\delta)\right) \le 2h^{-1}\mathbb{E}\left(|G_h|^2 k(X_h^\delta)\right) + 2Ch^{-1}\mathbb{E}\left(|Y_h^\delta|^2\right) \\
&\le 2h^{-1}\left(\mathbb{E}|G_h|^4\right)^{1/2}\left(\mathbb{E}|k(X_h^\delta)|^2\right)^{1/2} + 2Ch^{-1}\left(\mathrm{Var}\left(Y_h^\delta\right) + |\mathbb{E}Y_h^\delta|^2\right) \\
&= 2\sqrt{3}\sigma^2\left(\mathbb{E}|k(X_h^\delta)|^2\right)^{1/2} + 2C\mathrm{Var}\left(Y_1^\delta\right) + 2C|c^\delta|^2 h \ \stackrel{h\to 0}{\longrightarrow}\ 2C\int_{\{|v|\le\delta\}} v^2\, \rho(dv)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

We see that when $\sigma^2 = 0$ we only need k to be bounded. Then $K_2(\delta) \le C\int_{\{|v|\le\delta\}} v^2\, \rho(dv)$ and $K_3(h,\delta) \to 0$ as $h \to 0$ because $X_h, W_h \to 0$ a.s. and continuous on a set of full ρ-measure. Combining the above estimates we get

$$\limsup_{h\to 0} |h^{-1}\mathbb{E}[f(X_h)] - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f\left(v\right)\, \rho(dv)| \le 3C\int_{\{|v|\le\delta\}} v^2\, \rho(dv)\,.$$

Letting $\delta \to 0$ gives (4.16).

Finally, notice that if X is a subordinator, then in the term $K_3(h,\delta)$, $X_h + v$ approaches v from the right. Thus, if f is right-continuous on a set of full ρ-measure we get also $\lim_{h\to 0} K_1(h,\delta) = 0$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 5.7. Recall that Y has the generating triplet $(0,\nu,b)$ and V is a representation of ν on a σ-finite measure space $(S,\mathscr{S},n)$. $(\xi_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an i.i.d. sequence of random elements in S with the common distribution $g(s)n(ds)$, where $g>0$ n-a.e. Finally, $(\Gamma_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of partial sums of i.i.d. standard exponential random variables independent of $(\xi_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$.

We will show the isomorphism identities by a reduction to the stochastic integral case. To this aim, consider $\bar{S}=\{(s,r)\in S\times\mathbb{R}_+ : 0\le r\le g(s)^{-1}\}$ with the measure $\bar{n}(ds,dr):=g(s)n(ds)dr$ and let $\bar{V}_t(s,r):=V_t(s)$. Then $\bar{V}$ is a representation a representation of ν. Indeed, for any $A\in\mathbb{R}^T$

$$\bar{n}\circ\bar{V}^{-1}(A)=\int_S\int_0^{g(s)^{-1}}\mathbf{1}_A(V(s))\,g(s)drn(ds)=n\circ V^{-1}(A)=\nu(A).$$

A Poisson random measure $N:=\sum_{j=1}^\infty\delta_{(\xi_j,\Gamma_j)}$ on $S\times\mathbb{R}_+$ has the intensity measure $g(s)n(ds)dr$, so the restriction of N to $\bar{S}$ has the intensity $\bar{n}$. We have

$$Y_t=\int_{\bar{S}}V_t(s)\Big[\bar{N}(ds,dr)-\chi(V_t(s))\bar{n}(ds,dr)\Big]+b(t)\quad a.s.$$

Therefore, using (4.8) of Theorem 4.4 we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[F\left((V_t(\xi_0)+Y_t)_{t\in T}\right)\right]&=\mathbb{E}\int_S\left[F\left((V_t(s)+Y_t)_{t\in T}\right)\right]q(s)n(ds)\\&=\mathbb{E}\int_S\int_0^{g(s)^{-1}}\left[F\left((V_t(s)+Y_t)_{t\in T}\right)\right]g(s)q(s)\,dr\,n(ds)\\&=\mathbb{E}\int_{\bar{S}}\left[F\left((V_t(s)+Y_t)_{t\in T}\right)\right]q(s)\mathbf{1}\{r\le g(s)^{-1}\}\,\bar{n}(ds,dr)\\&=\mathbb{E}\left[F\left((Y_t)_{t\in T}\right);\,\bar{q}(\bar{N})\right],\end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{q}(s,r)=q(s)\mathbf{1}\{r\le g(s)^{-1}\}$ and

$$\bar{N}(\bar{q})=\int_{\bar{S}}q(s)\mathbf{1}\{g(s)\le r^{-1}\}\,\bar{N}(ds,dr)=\sum_{j=1}^\infty q(\xi_j)\mathbf{1}\{g(\xi_j)\le\Gamma_j^{-1}\}=Q.$$

This proves (5.6).

Conversely, using (4.9) of Theorem 4.4 we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[F\left((Y_t)_{t\in T}\right);\,Q>0\right]&=\mathbb{E}\left[F\left((Y_t)_{t\in T}\right);\,\bar{N}(\bar{q})>0\right]\\&=\mathbb{E}\int_{\bar{S}}\left[F\left((V_t(s)+Y_t)_{t\in T}\right);\,(\bar{N}(\bar{q})+\bar{q}(s,r))^{-1}\right]\bar{q}(s,r)\,\bar{n}(ds,dr)\\&=\mathbb{E}\int_S\int_0^{g(s)^{-1}}\left[F\left((V_t(s)+Y_t)_{t\in T}\right);\,(Q+q(s))^{-1}\right]q(s)\,dr\,g(s)n(ds)\\&=\mathbb{E}\int_S\left[F\left((V_t(s)+Y_t)_{t\in T}\right);\,(Q+q(s))^{-1}\right]q(s)\,n(ds)\,.\end{aligned}$$

The last statement of the theorem follows from the corresponding statement in Theorem 4.4. □

Acknowledgments. The author is grateful to Nathalie Eisenbaum, Haya Kaspi, Michael B. Marcus, and Jay Rosen for the stimulating discussions on various topics related to permanental processes.

References

[1] Applebaum, D. and Riedle, M. (2010) Cylindrical Lévy processes in Banach spaces. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **101**(3) 697–726.

[2] Ole E. Barndorff-Nielsen, O.E., Sauri, O., and Szozda, B. (2015) Selfdecomposable Fields. *J. Theor. Probab.*, Online First, Springer.

[3] Basse-O'Connor, A. and Rosiński, J. (2013). On the uniform convergence of random series in Skorohod space and representations of càdlàg infinitely divisible processes, *Ann. Probab.* **41**(6) 4317–4341.

[4] Cambanis, S., Nolan, J.P. and Rosinski, J. (1990). On the oscillation of infinitely divisible and some other processes, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **35**(5) 87–97.

[5] Dynkin, E.B. (1984). Gaussian and non-Gaussian random fields associated with Markov processes, *J. Funct. Anal.* **55** 344–376.

[6] Eisenbaum, N. (2003). On the infinite divisibility of squared Gaussian processes, *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **125** 381–392.

[7] Eisenbaum, N. and Kaspi, H. (2006). A characterization of the infinitely divisible squared Gaussian processes, *Ann. Probab.* **34**(2) 728–742.

[8] Eisenbaum, N. and Kaspi, H. (2009). On permanental processes, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **119**(5) 1401–1764.

[9] Kabluchko, Z. and Stoev, S. (2016). Stochastic integral representations and classification of sum– and max-infinitely divisible processes, *Bernoulli* **22**(1), 107–142.

[10] Kallenberg, O. *Foundations of Modern Probability*. 2nd Edition, Probability and Its Applications. New York: Springer, 2001.

[11] Lee, P.M. (1967). Infinitely divisible stochastic processes. *Z. Wahrsch. verv. Geb.*, **7** 147–160.

[12] Mansuy, R. and Yor, M. *Aspects of Brownian motion*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.

[13] Marcus, M.B. and Rosen, J. (1992). Sample path properties of the local times of strongly symmetric Markov processes via Gaussian processes, *Ann. Probab.* **7**20 1603–1684 (special invited paper).

[14] Marcus, M.B. and Rosen, J. *Markov Processes, Gaussian Processes, and Local Times.* Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 100. Cambridge University Press, 2006.

[15] Maruyama, G. (1970). Infinitely divisible processes. *Theory Probab. Appl.* **15**, (1970), 1–22.

[16] Pitman, J.W. and Yor, M. (1982) . A decomposition of Bessel bridges, *Z. Wahrsch. verv. Geb.*, **59** 425–457.

[17] Rajput, B.S. and Rosiński, J. (1989). Spectral representations of infinitely divisible processes, *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **82**(3) 451–487.

[18] Revuz, D. and Yor, M. *Continuous martingales and Brownian motion*, vol. 293 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, third edition, 1999.

[19] Rosiński, J. (1990). On series representations of infinitely divisible random vectors, *Ann. Probab.* **18** 405–430.

[20] Rosiński, J. (1990). An application of series representations for zero-one laws for infinitely divisible random vectors. In *Probability in Banach Spaces 7*, Progress in Probability 21, pp. 189–199. Birkhäuser, Boston.

[21] Rosiński, J. (2000). Decomposition of stationary α-stable random fields. *Ann. Probab.* **28** 1797–1813.

[22] Rosiński, J. (2001). Series representations of Lévy processes from the perspective of point processes. In *Lévy processes*, pp. 401–415. Boston, MA: Birkhäuser Boston.

[23] Rosiński, J. (2007). Lévy and Related Jump-type Infinitely Divisible Processes. Lecture Notes, Cornell University.

[24] Sato, K. *Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions*, vol. 68 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[25] Shiga, T. and Watanabe, S. (1973). Bessel diffusions as a one-parameter family of diffusion processes. *Z. Wahrsch. verv. Geb.* **27** 37–46.

[26] Talagrand, M. *Upper and Lower Bounds for Stochastic Proceses*, vol. 60 in a Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2014.

[27] Vere-Jones, D. (1967). The infinite divisibility of a bivariate gamma distributions. *Sankhyã* Ser. A **29** 421–422.